HAL open science

Deformation Quantization : an introduction

Simone Gutt

To cite this version:

Simone Gutt. Deformation Quantization : an introduction. 3rd cycle. Monastir (Tunsisie), 2005, pp.60. cel-00391793

HAL Id: cel-00391793

https://cel.hal.science/cel-00391793v1

Submitted on 4 Jun 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Deformation Quantization : an introduction

S. Gutt

Université Libre de Bruxelles
Campus Plaine, CP 218
bd du Triomphe
1050 Brussels, Belgium
sgutt@ulb.ac.be

and

Université de Metz
Ile du Saulcy
57045 Metz Cedex 01,
France

FIRST VERSION

Abstract

I shall focus, in this presentation of Deformation Quantization, to some mathematical aspects of the theory of star products.

The first lectures will be about the general concept of Deformation Quantisation, with examples, with Fedosov's construction of a star product on a symplectic manifold and with the classification of star products on a symplectic manifold.

We will then introduce the notion of formality and its link with star products, give a flavour of Kontsvich's construction of a formality for $\mathbb{R}^d$ and a sketch of the globalisation of a star product on a Poisson manifold following the approach of Cattaneo, Felder and Tomassini.

The last lectures will be devoted to the action of a Lie group on a deformed product.

The notes here are a brief summary of those lectures; I start with a Further Reading section which includes expository papers with details of what is presented.

I shall not mention many very important aspects of the deformation quantisation programme such as reduction procedures in deformation quantisation, states and representations in deformed algebras, convergence of deformations, index theorems, extension to fields theory; I include a bibliography with many references to those topics.

1 Further Reading

1 D. Arnal, D. Manchon et M. Masmoudi, Choix des signes pour la formalité de M. Kontsevich, math QA/0003003.

2 A. Cattaneo and D. Indelicato, Formality and star products, in Poisson Geometry, Deformation Quantisation and Group Representations, S.Gutt, J.Rawnsley and D. Sternheimer (eds.), LMS Lecture Note Series 323, 2005 (and math.QA/0403135).

3 A. Cattaneo and G. Felder, On the globalization of Kontsevich's star product and the perturbative sigma model, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 144 (2001) 38–53 (and hep-th/0111028)

4 S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold; an introduction to Deligne's Čech cohomology classes, *Journ. Geom. Phys.* 29 (1999) 347–392.

5 D. Sternheimer, Deformation Quantization Twenty Years after, in J. Rembielinski (ed.), Particles, fields and gravitation (Lodz 1998) *AIP conference proceedings* 453 (1998) 107–145 (and math/9809056).

6 S. Waldmann, States and Representations in Deformation Quantization, Reviews in Math. Phys. 17 (2005) 15–75 (and math QA/0408217).

2 Introduction

Quantization of a classical system is a way to pass from classical to quantum results.

Classical mechanics, in its Hamiltonian formulation on the motion space, has for framework a symplectic manifold (or more generally a Poisson manifold). Observables are families of smooth functions on that manifold M. The dynamics is defined in terms of a Hamiltonian $H \in C^\infty(M)$ and the time evolution of an observable $f_t \in C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$ is governed by the equation :

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_t = -\{H, f_t\}.$$

Quantum mechanics, in its usual Heisenberg's formulation, has for framework a Hilbert space (states are rays in that space). Observables are families of selfadjoint operators on the Hilbert space. The dynamics is defined in terms of a Hamiltonian H, which is a selfadjoint operator, and the time evolution of an observable A_t is governed by the equation :

$$\frac{dA_t}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, A_t].$$

A natural suggestion for quantization is a correspondence $Q\colon f \mapsto Q(f)$ mapping a function f to a self adjoint operator $Q(f)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ in such a way that $Q(1) = \mathrm{Id}$ and

$$[Q(f), Q(g)] = i\hbar Q(\{f, g\}).$$

There is no such correspondence defined on all smooth functions on M when one puts an irreducibility requirement which is necessary not to violate Heisenberg's principle.

Different mathematical treatments of quantization appeared to deal with this problem:

- Geometric Quantization of Kostant and Souriau. This proceeds in two steps; first prequantization of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) where one builds a Hilbert space and a correspondence Q as above defined on all smooth functions on M but with no irreducibility, then polarization to "cut down the number of variables". One succeeds to quantize only a small class of functions.

- Berezin's quantization where one builds on a particular class of Kähler manifolds a family of associative algebras using a symbolic calculus, i.e. a dequantization procedure.

- Deformation Quantization introduced by Flato, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer in [61] and developed in [12] where they

  " suggest that quantization be understood as a deformation of the structure of the algebra of classical observables rather than a radical change in the nature of the observables."

This deformation approach to quantization is part of a general deformation approach to physics. This was one of the seminal ideas stressed by Moshe Flato: one looks at some level of a theory in physics as a deformation of another level [59].

Deformation quantization is defined in terms of a star product which is a formal deformation of the algebraic structure of the space of smooth functions on a Poisson manifold. The associative structure given by the usual product of functions and the Lie structure given by the Poisson bracket are simultaneously deformed.

The plan of this presentation is the following :

- Definition and Examples of star products.
- Fedosov's construction of a star product on a symplectic manifold
- Classification of star products on symplectic manifolds.
- Star products on Poisson manifolds and formality
- Group actions on star products.

3 Definition and Examples of star products

Definition 1 A **Poisson bracket** defined on the space of smooth functions on a manifold M, is a $\mathbb{R}$- bilinear map on $C^\infty(M)$, $(u,v) \mapsto \{u,v\}$ such that for any $u,v,w \in C^\infty(M)$:
- $\{u,v\} = -\{v,u\}$;
- $\{\{u,v\},w\} + \{\{v,w\},u\} + \{\{w,u\},v\} = 0$;
- $\{u,vw\} = \{u,v\}w + \{u,w\}v$.

A Poisson bracket is given in terms of a contravariant skew symmetric 2-tensor P on M, called the **Poisson tensor**, by

$$\{u,v\} = P(du \wedge dv).$$

The Jacobi identity for the Poisson bracket Lie algebra is equivalent to the vanishing of the Schouten bracket :

$$[P,P] = 0.$$

(The Schouten bracket is the extension -as a graded derivation for the exterior product- of the bracket of vector fields to skewsymmetric contravariant tensor fields; it will be developed further in section 6.)
A **Poisson manifold**, denoted (M,P), is a manifold M with a Poisson bracket defined by the Poisson tensor P.

A particular class of Poisson manifolds, essential in classical mechanics, is the class of **symplectic manifolds**. If (M,ω) is a symplectic manifold (i.e. ω is a closed

nondegenerate 2-form on M) and if $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$, the Poisson bracket of u and v is defined by

$$\{u, v\} := X_u(v) = \omega(X_v, X_u),$$

where X_u denotes the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the function u, i.e. such that $i(X_u)\omega = du$. In coordinates the components of the corresponding Poisson tensor P^{ij} form the inverse matrix of the components ω_{ij} of ω.

Duals of Lie algebras form the class of linear Poisson manifolds. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra then its dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is endowed with the Poisson tensor P defined by

$$P_\xi(X, Y) := \xi([X, Y])$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \sim (T_\xi \mathfrak{g}^*)^*$.

Definition 2 (Bayen et al. [12]) A **star product** on (M, P) is a bilinear map

$$N \times N \to N[\![\nu]\!], \qquad (u, v) \mapsto u * v = u *_\nu v := \sum_{r \geq 0} \nu^r C_r(u, v)$$

where $N = C^\infty(M)$ [we consider here real valued functions;the results for complex valued functions are similar], such that

1. the law $*$ is formally associative, with the map $*$ extended $\mathbb{R}\nu$-linearly to $N[\![\nu]\!] \times N[\![\nu]\!]$,
$$(u * v) * w = u * (v * w);$$
2. (a) $C_0(u, v) = uv$, (b) $C_1(u, v) - C_1(v, u) = \{u, v\}$;
3. $1 * u = u * 1 = u$.

When the C_r's are bidifferential operators on M, one speaks of a **differential star product**; when each C_r is of order maximum r in each argument, one speaks of a **natural star product**.

Remark 3 A star product can also be defined not on the whole of $C^\infty(M)$ but on any subspace N of it which is stable under pointwize multiplication and Poisson bracket.

Requiring differentiability of the cochains is essentially the same as requiring them to be local [30].

In (b) we follow Deligne's normalisation for C_1: its skew symmetric part is $\frac{1}{2}\{\ ,\ \}$. In the original definition it was equal to the Poisson bracket. One finds in the literature other normalisations such as $\frac{i}{2}\{\ ,\ \}$. All these amount to a rescaling of the parameter ν.

One assumed also the parity condition $C_r(u, v) = (-1)^r C_r(v, u)$ in the earliest definition.

Property (b) above implies that an element in the centre of the deformed algebra $(C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!], *)$ is a series whose terms Poisson commute with all functions, so is an element of $\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$ when M is symplectic and connected.

Properties (a) and (b) of Definition 2 imply that the **star commutator** defined by $[u,v]_* = u * v - v * u$, which obviously makes $C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ into a Lie algebra, has the form $[u,v]_* = \nu\{u,v\} + \dots$ so that repeated bracketing leads to higher and higher order terms. This makes $C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ an example of a **pronilpotent Lie algebra**. We denote the **star adjoint representation** $ad_* u\ (v) = [u,v]_*$.

3.1 The Moyal star product on $\mathbb{R}^n$

The simplest example of a deformation quantization is the Moyal product for the Poisson structure P on a vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^m$ with constant coefficients:

$$P = \sum_{i,j} P^{ij} \partial_i \wedge \partial_j, \quad P^{ij} = -P^{ji} \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ is the partial derivative in the direction of the coordinate x^i, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The formula for **the Moyal product** is

$$(u *_M v)(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\nu}{2} P^{rs} \partial_{x^r} \partial_{y^s}\right)(u(x)v(y))\Big|_{x=y=z}. \tag{1}$$

Definition 4 When P is non degenerate (so $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$), the space of polynomials in ν whose coefficients are polynomials on V with Moyal product is called **the Weyl algebra** $(S(V^*)[\nu], *_M)$.

This example comes from the composition of operators via Weyl's quantization. Weyl's correspondence associates to a polynomial f on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ an operator $W(f)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$in the following way:

Introduce canonical coordinates $\{p_i, q^i; i \leq n\}$ so that the Poisson bracket reads

$$\{f,g\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q^i}\right).$$

Assign to the classical observables q^i and p_i the quantum operators $Q^i = q^i \cdot$ and $P_i = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$ acting on functions depending on q^j's. One has to specify what should happen to other classical observables, in particular for the polynomials in q^i and p_j since Q^i and P_j do no longer commute. The *Weyl ordering* is the corresponding totally symmetrized polynomial in Q^i and P_j, e.g.

$$W(q^1(p^1)^2) = \frac{1}{3}(Q^1(P^1)^2 + Q^1P^1Q^1 + (P^1)^2Q^1).$$

Then

$$W(f) \circ W(g) = W(f *_M g) \quad (\nu = i\hbar).$$

In fact, Moyal had used in 1949 the deformed bracket which corresponds to the commutator of operators to study quantum statistical mechanics. The Moyal product first appeared in Groenewold.

In 1978, in their seminal paper about deformation quantization [12], Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer proved that Moyal star product can be defined on any symplectic manifold (M, ω) which admits a symplectic connection ∇ (i.e. a linear connection such that $\nabla\omega = 0$ and the torsion of ∇ vanishes) with no curvature.

3.2 The standard *-product on $\mathfrak{g}^*$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the dual of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra of polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is identified with the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$. One defines a new associative law on this algebra by a transfer of the product $\circ$ in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$, via the bijection between $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ given by the total symmetrization σ :

$$\sigma : S(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g}) X_1 \dots X_k \mapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\rho \in S_k} X_{\rho(1)} \circ \dots \circ X_{\rho(k)}.$$

Then $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} U_n$ where $U_n := \sigma(S^n(\mathfrak{g}))$ and we decompose an element $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ accordingly $u = \sum u_n$. We define for $P \in S^p(\mathfrak{g})$ and $Q \in S^q(\mathfrak{g})$

$$P * Q = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\nu)^n \sigma^{-1}((\sigma(P) \circ \sigma(Q))_{p+q-n}). \tag{2}$$

This yields a differential star product on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ [66]. Using Vergne's result on the multiplication in $U(\mathfrak{g})$, this star product is characterised by

$$\begin{aligned} X * X_1 \dots X_k &= X X_1 \dots X_k \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \nu^j B j [[[X, X_{r_1}], \dots], X_{r_j}] X_1 \dots \widehat{X_{r_1}} \dots \widehat{X_{r_j}} \dots X_k \end{aligned}$$

where B_j are the Bernouilli numbers. This star product can be written with an integral formula (for $\nu = 2\pi i$) [51]:

$$u * v(\xi) = \int_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} \hat{u}(X) \hat{v}(Y) e^{2i\pi \langle \xi, CBH(X,Y) \rangle} dX dY$$

where $\hat{u}(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} u(\eta) e^{-2i\pi \langle \eta, X \rangle}$ and where CBH denotes Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula for the product of elements in the group in a logarithmic chart ($\exp X \exp Y = \exp CBH(X,Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$).

We call this **the standard (or CBH) star product** on the dual of a Lie algebra.

Remark 5 The standard star product on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ does not restrict to orbits (except for the Heisenberg group) so other algebraic constructions of star products on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ were considered (with Michel Cahen in [33], with Cahen and Arnal in [4], by Arnal, Ludwig and Masmoudi in [8] and by Fioresi and Lledo in [58]). For instance, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, if $\mathscr{H}$ is the space of harmonic polynomials and if $I_1, \dots I_r$ are generators of

the space of invariant polynomials, then any polynomial $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ writes uniquely as a sum $P = \sum_{a_1 \dots a_r} I_1^{a_1} \dots I_r^{a_r} h_{a_1 \dots a_r}$ where $h_{a_1 \dots a_r} \in \mathscr{H}$. One considers the isomorphism σ' between $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by this decomposition

$$\sigma'(P) = \sum_{a_1 \dots a_r} (\sigma(I_1)\circ)^{a_1} \dots (\sigma(I_r)\circ)^{a_r} \circ \sigma(h_{a_1 \dots a_r}).$$

This gives a star product on $S(\mathfrak{g})$ which is not defined by differential operators. In fact, with Cahen and Rawnsley, we proved [36] that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, there is no differential star product on any neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $C * u = Cu$ for the quadratic invariant polynomial $C \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\forall u \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ (thus no differential star product which is tangential to the orbits).

In 1983, De Wilde and Lecomte proved [45] that on any symplectic manifold there exists a differential star product. This was obtained by imagining a very clever generalisation of a homogeneity condition in the form of building at the same time the star product and a special derivation of it. A very nice presentation of this proof appears in [44]. Their technique was used by Masmoudi to prove the existence of a differential star product on a regular Poisson manifold [86].

In 1985, but appearing only in the West in the nineties [52], Fedosov gave a recursive construction of a star product on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) constructing flat connections on the Weyl bundle. In 1994, he extended this result to give a recursive construction in the context of regular Poisson manifold [53].

Independently, also using the framework of Weyl bundles, Omori, Maeda and Yoshioka [95] gave an alternative proof of existence of a differential star product on a symplectic manifold, gluing local Moyal star products.

In 1997, Kontsevich [79] gave a proof of the existence of a star product on any Poisson manifold and gave an explicit formula for a star product for any Poisson structure on $V = \mathbb{R}^m$. This appeared as a consequence of the proof of his formality theorem. Tamarkin [108] gave a version of the proof in the framework of the theory of operads.

4 Fedosov's construction of star products

Fedosov's construction [52] gives a star product on a symplectic manifold (M, ω), when one has chosen a symplectic connection and a sequence of closed 2-forms on M. The star product is obtained by identifying the space $N[[\nu]]$ with an algebra of flat sections of the so-called Weyl bundle endowed with a flat connection whose construction is related to the choice of the sequence of closed 2-forms on M.

4.1 The Weyl bundle

Let (V, Ω) be a symplectic vector space; recall that we endow the space of polynomials in ν whose coefficients are polynomials on V with Moyal star product (this is the Weyl algebra).

The **formal Weyl algebra** W is the completion in a suitable grading of this algebra which can be viewed as the universal enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = V^* \oplus \mathbb{R}\nu$ with Lie bracket

$$\left[y^i, y^j\right] = (\Omega^{-1})^{ij}\nu.$$

One defines a grading on W assigning the degree 1 to the y^i's and the degree 2 to the element ν. An element of the formal Weyl algebra is of the form

$$\left\{ a(y,\nu) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{2k+l=m} a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l} \nu^k y^{i_1} \ldots y^{i_l} \right) \right\}.$$

The product in $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is given by the Moyal star product

$$(a \circ b)(y,\nu) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{\nu}{2} \Lambda^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) a(y,\nu) b(z,\nu) \right)\Bigg|_{y=z}$$

with $\Lambda^{ij} = (\Omega^{-1})^{ij}$ and the same formula also defines the product in W.

Definition 6 The symplectic group $Sp(V, \Omega)$ of the symplectic vector space (V, Ω) consists of all invertible linear transformations A of V with $\Omega(Au, Av) = \Omega(u, v)$, for all $u, v \in V$. $Sp(V, \Omega)$ acts as automorphisms of $\mathfrak{h}$ by $A \cdot f = f \circ A^{-1}$ for $f \in V^*$ and $A \cdot \nu = 0$. This action extends to both $U(\mathfrak{h})$ and W and on the latter is denoted by ρ. It respects the multiplication $\rho(A)(a \circ b) = \rho(A)(a) \circ \rho(A)(b)$. Choosing a symplectic basis we can regard this as an action of $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ as automorphisms of W. Explicitely, we have:

$$\rho(A)(\sum_{2k+l=m} a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l} \nu^k y^{i_1} \ldots y^{i_l}) = \sum_{2k+l=m} a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l} \nu^k (A^{-1})^{i_1}_{j_1} \ldots (A^{-1})^{i_l}_{j_l} y^{j_1} \ldots y^{j_l}.$$

If $B \in sp(V,\Omega)$ we associate the quadratic element $\overline{B} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ijr}\Omega_{ri}B^r_j y^i y^j$. This is an identification since the condition to be in $sp(V,\Omega)$ is that $\sum_r \Omega_{ri}B^r_j$ is symmetric in i and j. An easy calculation shows that the natural action $\rho_*(B)$ is given by:

$$\rho_*(B)y^l = \frac{-1}{\nu}[\overline{B}, y^l]$$

where $[a,b] := (a \circ b) - (b \circ a)$ for any $a, b \in W$. Since both sides act as derivations this extends to all of W as

$$\rho_*(B)a = \frac{-1}{\nu}[\overline{B}, a]. \tag{3}$$

Definition 7 If (M,ω) is a symplectic manifold, we can form its bundle $F(M)$ of symplectic frames. Recall that a symplectic frame at the point $x \in M$ is a linear symplectic isomorphism $\xi_x : (V,\Omega) \to (T_xM, \omega_x)$. The bundle $F(M)$ is a principal $Sp(V,\Omega)$-bundle over M (the action on the right of an element $A \in Sp(V,\Omega)$ on a frame ξ_x is given by $\xi_x \circ A$).

The associated bundle $\mathscr{W} = F(M) \times_{Sp(V,\Omega),\rho} W$ is a bundle of algebras on M called the bundle of formal Weyl algebras, or, more simply, **the Weyl bundle**.

Sections of the Weyl bundle have the form of formal series

$$a(x,y,\nu) = \sum_{2k+l\geq 0} \nu^k a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l}(x) y^{i_1}\cdots y^{i_l}$$

where the coefficients $a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l}$ define (in the $i's$) symmetric covariant l–tensor fields on M.

The product of two sections taken pointwise makes the space of sections into an algebra, and in terms of the above representation of sections **the multiplication** has the form

$$(a \circ b)(x,y,\nu) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\Lambda^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\right) a(x,y,\nu)b(x,z,\nu)\right)\Bigg|_{y=z}.$$

Note that the center of this algebra coincide with $C^\infty(M)[[\nu]]$.

4.2 Flat connections on the Weyl bundle

Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold. A symplectic connection on M is a connection ∇ on TM which is torsion-free and satisfies $\nabla_X\omega = 0$.

Remark 8 It is well known that such connections always exist but, unlike the Riemannian case, are not unique. To see the existence, take any torsion-free connection ∇' and set $T(X,Y,Z) = (\nabla'_X\omega)(Y,Z)$. Then

$$T(X,Y,Z) + T(Y,Z,X) + T(Z,X,Y) = (d\omega)(X,Y,Z) = 0$$

Define S by

$$\omega(S(X,Y),Z) = \frac{1}{3}(T(X,Y,Z) + T(Y,X,Z))$$

so that S is symmetric, then it is easy to check that

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla'_X Y + S(X, Y)$$

defines a symplectic connection, and S symmetric means that it is still torsion-free.

A symplectic connection defines a connection in the symplectic frame bundle and so in all associated bundles. In particular we obtain a connection in $\mathscr{W}$ which we denote by ∂.

In order to express the connection and its curvature, we need to consider also $\mathscr{W}$-valued forms on M. These are sections of the bundle $\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^q T^*M$ and locally have the form

$$\sum_{2k+p\geq 0} \nu^k a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_l,j_1,\ldots,j_q}(x) y^{i_1} \ldots y^{i_p}\, dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_q}$$

where the coefficients are again covariant tensors, symmetric in $i_1, \ldots, i_p$ and anti-symmetric in $j_1, \ldots, j_q$. Such sections can be multiplied using the product in $\mathscr{W}$ and simultaneously exterior multiplication $a \otimes \omega \circ b \otimes \omega' = (a \circ b) \otimes (\omega \wedge \omega')$. The space of $\mathscr{W}$-valued forms $\Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^*)$ is then a graded Lie algebra with respect to the bracket

$$[s, s'] = s \circ s' - (-1)^{q_1 q_2} s' \circ s$$

if $s_i \in \Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^{q_i})$.

The connection ∂ in $\mathscr{W}$ can then be viewed as a map

$$\partial \colon \Gamma(\mathscr{W}) \to \Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^1),$$

and we write it as follows. Let Γ^i_{kl} be the Christoffel symbols of ∇ in TM. Then with respect to the il indices we have an element of the symplectic Lie algebra $sp(n, \mathbb{R})$. If we introduce the $\mathscr{W}$-valued 1-form $\overline{\Gamma}$ given by

$$\overline{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkr} \omega_{ki} \Gamma^k_{rj} y^i y^j dx^r,$$

then the connection in $\mathscr{W}$ is given by

$$\partial a = da - \frac{1}{\nu}[\overline{\Gamma}, a].$$

As usual, the connection ∂ in $\mathscr{W}$ extends to a covariant exterior derivative on all of $\Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^*)$, also denoted by ∂, by using the Leibnitz rule:

$$\partial(a \otimes \omega) = \partial(a) \wedge \omega + a \otimes d\omega.$$

The curvature of ∂ is then given by $\partial \circ \partial$ which is a 2-form with values in $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{W})$. In this case it admits a simple expression in terms of the curvature R of the symplectic connection ∇:

$$\partial \circ \partial a = \frac{1}{\nu}[\overline{R}, a]$$

where

$$\overline{R} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{ijklr} \omega_{rl} R^l_{ijk} y^r y^k \, dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

The idea is to try to modify ∂ to have zero curvature. In order to do this we need a further technical tool.
For any $a \in \Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^q)$, write

$$a = \sum_{p\geq 0, q\geq 0} a_{pq} = \sum_{2k+p\geq 0, q\geq 0} \nu^k a_{k,i_1,\ldots,i_p,j_1,\ldots,j_q} y^{i_1} \ldots y^{i_p} \, dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_q}.$$

In particular

$$a_{00} = \sum_k \nu^k a_k.$$

Define

$$\delta(a) = \sum_k dx^k \wedge \frac{\partial a}{\partial y^k}, \quad \delta^{-1}(a_{pq}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p+q}\sum_k y^k i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}) a_{pq} \text{ if } \ \mathrm{p+q>0;} \\ 0 \text{ if } \ \mathrm{p+q=0.} \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$\delta^2 = 0, \qquad (\delta^{-1})^2 = 0, \qquad (\delta\delta^{-1} + \delta^{-1}\delta)(a) = a - a_{00}.$$

Note that δ can be written in terms of the algebra structure by

$$\delta(a) = \frac{1}{\nu}\left[\sum_{ij} -\omega_{ij} y^i dx^j, a\right]$$

so that δ is a graded derivation of $\Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^*)$. It is also not difficult to verify that $\partial\delta + \delta\partial = 0$.

With these preliminaries we now look for a connection D on $\mathscr{W}$ which is flat: $D \circ D = 0$. Such a connection can be written as a sum of ∂ and a $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{W})$-valued 1-form. The latter is taken in a particular form:

$$Da = \partial a - \delta(a) - \frac{1}{\nu}[r, a].$$

Then an easy calculation shows that

$$D \circ Da = \frac{1}{\nu}\left[\overline{R} - \partial r + \delta r + \frac{1}{2\nu}[r, r], a\right]$$

and $[r, r] = 2r \circ r$. So we will have a flat connection D provided we can make the first term in the bracket be a central 2-form.

Theorem 9 *(*Fedosov [52]) *The equation*

$$\delta r = -\overline{R} + \partial r - \frac{1}{\nu} r^2 + \tilde{\Omega} \tag{4}$$

for a given series

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \sum_{i\geq 1} h^i \omega_i \tag{5}$$

where the ω_i are closed 2-forms on M, has a unique solution $r \in \Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^1)$ satisfying the normalization condition

$$\delta^{-1} r = 0$$

and such that the $\mathscr{W}$-degree of the leading term of r is at least 3.

Proof We apply δ^{-1} to the equation (4) and use the fact that r is a 1-form so that $r_{00} = 0$, then r, if it exists, must satisfy

$$r = \delta^{-1}\delta r = -\delta^{-1}\overline{R} + \delta^{-1}\partial r - \frac{1}{\nu}\delta^{-1} r^2 + \delta^{-1}\tilde{\Omega}. \tag{6}$$

Two solutions of this equation will have a difference which satisfies the same equation but without the $\overline{R}$ term and the $\tilde{\Omega}$ term. If the first non-zero term of the difference has finite degree m, then the leading term of $\delta^{-1}\partial r$ has degree $m+1$ and of $\delta^{-1}(r^2/h)$ has degree $2m-1$. Since both of these are larger than m for $m \geq 2$, such a term cannot exist so the difference must be zero. Hence the solution is unique.

Existence is very similar. We observe that the above argument shows that the equation above for r determines the homogeneous components of r recursively. So it is enough to show that such a solution satisfies both conditions of the theorem. Obviously $\delta^{-1} r = 0$. Let $A = \delta r + \overline{R} - \partial r + \frac{1}{\nu} r^2 - \tilde{\Omega} \quad \in \quad \Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^2)$. Then

$$\delta^{-1} A = \delta^{-1}\delta r + \delta^{-1}(\overline{R} - \partial r + \frac{1}{\nu} r^2 - \tilde{\Omega}) = r - r = 0.$$

Also $DA = \partial A - \delta A - \frac{1}{\nu}[r, A] = 0$. We can now apply a similar argument to that which proved uniqueness. Since $A_{00} = 0$, $\delta^{-1} A = 0$ and $DA = 0$ we have

$$A = \delta^{-1}\delta A = \delta^{-1}(\partial A - \frac{1}{\nu}[r, A])$$

and recursively we can see that each homogeneous component of A must vanish, which shows that (4) holds and the theorem is proved. □

Actually carrying out the recursion to determine r explicitly seems very complicated, but one can easily see that:

Proposition 10 *[15] Let us consider $\tilde{\Omega} = \sum_{i\geq 1} h^i \omega_i$ and the corresponding r in $\Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^1)$, solution of (4), given inductively by (6). Then r_m only depends on ω_i for $2i+1 \leq m$ and the first term in r which involves ω_k is:*

$$r_{2k+1} = \delta^{-1}(\nu^k \omega_k) + \tilde{r}_{2k+1}$$

where the last term does not involve ω_k.

4.3 Flat sections of the Weyl bundle

In this section, we consider a flat connection D on the Weyl bundle constructed as above. Since D acts as a derivation of the pointwise multiplication of sections, the space $\mathscr{W}_D$ of flat sections will be a subalgebra of the space of sections of $\mathscr{W}$:

$$\mathscr{W}_D = \{a \in \Gamma(\mathscr{W}) | Da = 0\}.$$

The importance of this space of sections comes from

Theorem 11 *[52] Given a flat connection D, for any $a_\circ \in N[[\nu]]$ there is a unique $a \in \mathscr{W}_D$ such that $a(x, 0, \nu) = a_\circ(x, \nu)$.*

Proof This is very much like the above argument. We have $\delta^{-1}a = 0$ since it is a 0-form. The equation $Da = 0$ can be written

$$\delta a = \partial a - \frac{1}{\nu}[r, a].$$

Instead of solving this directly we apply δ^{-1}:

$$a = \delta^{-1}\delta a + a_\circ = \delta^{-1}\left(\partial a - \frac{1}{\nu}[r, a]\right) + a_\circ.$$

Unicity follows by recursion for the difference of two solutions. If we solve this equation recursively for a, then certainly $a(x, 0, \nu) = a_\circ(x, \nu)$. The fact that $A = Da = 0$ follows as before by showing that $\delta^{-1}A = 0$ and $DA = D^2a = 0$. □

We define $\sigma : \Gamma(\mathscr{W}) \to N[[\nu]]$, the symbol map, by

$$\sigma(a) = a(x, 0, \nu).$$

The theorem tells us that σ is a linear isomorphism when restricted to $\mathscr{W}_D$. So it can be used to transport the algebra structure of $\mathscr{W}_D$ to $N[[\nu]]$. We define **Fedosov's star product** $*_{\nabla,\tilde{\Omega}}$ related to the choice of a symplectic connection ∇ and a series of closed 2-forms $\tilde{\Omega}$ by

$$a *_{\nabla,\tilde{\Omega}} b = \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(a) \circ \sigma^{-1}(b)), \quad a, b \in N[[\nu]]. \tag{7}$$

One checks easily that this defines a $*$-product on N. If the curvature and the Ω vanish, one gets back the Moyal $*$-product.

Proposition 12 *Let us consider $\tilde{\Omega} = \sum_{i\geq 1} h^i\omega_i$, the connection $D_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ corresponding to r in $\Gamma(\mathscr{W} \otimes \Lambda^1)$ given by the solution of (4) and the corresponding star product $*_{\nabla,\tilde{\Omega}}$ on $N[[\nu]]$ obtained by identifying this space with $\mathscr{W}_{D_{\tilde{\Omega}}}$. Let us write $u *_{\nabla,\tilde{\Omega}} v = \sum_{i\geq 0} \nu^r C_r^{\tilde{\Omega}}(u, v)$. Then, for any r, $C_r^{\tilde{\Omega}}$ only depends on ω_i for $i < r$ and*

$$C_{r+1}^{\tilde{\Omega}}(u, v) = \omega_r(X_u, X_v) + \tilde{C}_{r+1}(u, v)$$

where the last term does not depend on ω_r.

Proof Take u in N and observe that the lowest term in the $\mathscr{W}$ grading of $\sigma^{-1}u$ involving ω_k is in $(\sigma^{-1}u)_{2k+1}$, coming from the term $-\frac{1}{\nu}\partial^{-1}[r_{2k+1}, u_1]$ and one has:

$$(\sigma^{-1}u)_{2k+1} = -\frac{1}{\nu}\partial^{-1}[\partial^{-1}(h^k\omega_k), u_1] + u'$$

where u' does not depend on ω_k. Hence the lowest term in $\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(u) \circ \sigma^{-1}(v))$ for $u, v \in N$ involving ω_k comes from:

$$((\sigma^{-1}(u))_{2k+1} \circ (\sigma^{-1}(v))_1 + ((\sigma^{-1}(u))_1 \circ (\sigma^{-1}(v))_{2k+1})(x, 0, h).$$

□

5 Classification of star products on a symplectic manifold

5.1 Hochschild cohomology

Star products on a manifold M are examples of deformations -in the sense of Gerstenhaber [63]- of associative algebras. The study of these uses the Hochschild cohomology of the algebra, here $C^\infty(M)$ with values in $C^\infty(M)$, where p-cochains are p-linear maps from $(C^\infty(M))^p$ to $C^\infty(M)$ and where the **Hochschild coboundary operator** maps the p-cochain C to the $p+1$-cochain

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial C)(u_0,\dots,u_p) &= u_0 C(u_1,\dots,u_p)\\ &+\sum_{r=1}^{p}(-1)^r C(u_0,\dots,u_{r-1}u_r,\dots,u_p)+(-1)^{p+1}C(u_0,\dots,u_{p-1})u_p.\end{aligned}$$

For differential star products, we consider differential cochains, i.e. given by differential operators on each argument. The associativity condition for a star product at order k in the parameter ν reads

$$(\partial C_k)(u,v,w) = \sum_{r+s=k,r,s>0} \left(C_r(C_s(u,v),w) - C_r(u,C_s(v,w)) \right).$$

If one has cochains $C_j, j<k$ such that the star product they define is associative to order $k-1$, then the right hand side above is a cocycle (∂(RHS)$=0$) and one can extend the star product to order k if it is a coboundary (RHS$=\partial(C_k)$).

Theorem 13 *(Vey [109]) Every differential p-cocycle C on a manifold M is the sum of the coboundary of a differential (p-1)-cochain and a 1-differential skewsymmetric p-cocycle A:*

$$C = \partial B + A.$$

In particular, a cocycle is a coboundary if and only if its total skewsymmetrization, which is automatically 1-differential in each argument, vanishes. Also

$$H^p_{\text{diff}}(C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M)) = \Gamma(\Lambda^p TM).$$

Furthermore ([34]),given a connection ∇ on M, B can be defined from C by universal formulas.

By universal, we mean the following: any p-differential operator D of order maximum k in each argument can be written

$$D(u_1,\dots,u_p) = \sum_{|\alpha_1|<k\dots|\alpha_p|<k} D^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p}_{|\alpha_1|,\dots,|\alpha_p|}\nabla_{\alpha_1}u_1\dots\nabla_{\alpha_p}u_p$$

where α's are multiindices, $D_{|\alpha_1|,\dots,|\alpha_p|}$ are tensors (symmetric in each of the p groups of indices) and $\nabla_\alpha u = (\nabla\dots(\nabla u))(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}},\dots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_q}})$ when $\alpha=(i_1,\dots,i_q)$. We claim that

there is a B such that the tensors defining B are universally defined as linear combinations of the tensors defining C, universally meaning in a way which is independent of the form of C. Note that requiring differentiability of the cochains is essentially the same as requiring them to be local [30].

(An elementary proof of the above theorem can be found in [68].)

Remark 14 Behind theorem 13 above, there exist the following stronger results about Hochschild cohomology:

Theorem 15 *Let $\mathscr{A} = C^\infty(M)$, let $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{A})$ be the space of continuous cochains and $\mathscr{C}_{diff}(\mathscr{A})$ be the space of differential cochains. Then*

1) $\Gamma(\Lambda^p TM) \subset H^p(C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M))$*;*

2) the inclusions $\Gamma(\Lambda^p TM) \subset \mathscr{C}_{diff}(\mathscr{A}) \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{A})$ *induce isomorphisms in cohomology.*

Point 1 follows from the fact that any cochain which is 1-differential in each argument is a cocycle and that the skewsymmetric part of a coboundary always vanishes. The fact that the inclusion $\Gamma(\Lambda TM) \subset \mathscr{C}_{diff}(\mathscr{A})$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology is proven by Vey [109]; it gives theorem 13. The general result about continuous cochains is due to Connes [41]. Another proof of Connes result was given by Nadaud in [90]. In the somewhat pathological case of completely general cochains the full cohomology does not seem to be known.

5.2 Equivalence of star products

Definition 16 Two star products $*$ and $*'$ on (M, P) are said to be **equivalent** if there is a series

$$T = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \nu^r T_r$$

where the T_r are linear operators on $C^\infty(M)$, such that

$$T(f * g) = Tf *' Tg. \tag{8}$$

Remark that the T_r automatically vanish on constants since 1 is a unit for $*$ and for $*'$. Using in a similar way linear operators which do not necessarily vanish on constants, one can pass from any associative deformation of the product of functions on a Poisson manifold (M, P) to another such deformation with 1 being a unit. Remark also that one can write $T = \exp A$ where A is a series of linear operators on $C^\infty(M)$.

In the general theory of deformations, Gerstenhaber [63] showed how equivalence is linked to some second cohomology space.

Recall that a star product $*$ on (M, ω) is called differential if the 2-cochains $C_r(u, v)$ giving it are bi-differential operators. As was observed by Lichnerowicz [85] and Deligne [43] :

Proposition 17 *If $*$ and $*'$ are differential star products and $T(u) = u + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r T_r(u)$ is an equivalence so that $T(u * v) = T(u) *' T(v)$ then the T_r are differential operators.*

Proof Indeed if $T = \mathrm{Id} + \nu^k T_k + \dots$ then $\partial T_k = C'_k - C_k$ is differential so $C'_k - C_k$ is a differential 2-cocycle with vanishing skewsymmetric part but then, using Vey's formula, it is the coboundary of a differential 1-cochain E and $T_k - E$, being a 1-cocycle, is a vector field so T_k is differential. One then proceeds by induction, considering $T' = (\mathrm{Id} + \nu^k T_k)^{-1} \circ T = \mathrm{Id} + \nu^{k+1} T'_{k+1} + \dots$ and the two differential star products $*$ and $*''$, where $u *'' v = (\mathrm{Id} + \nu^k T_k)^{-1}((\mathrm{Id} + \nu^k T_k)u *' (\mathrm{Id} + \nu^k T_k)v)$, which are equivalent through T' (i.e. $T'(u * v) = T'(u) *'' T'(v)$). □

A differential star product is equivalent to one with linear term in ν given by $\frac{1}{2}\{u, v\}$. Indeed $C_1(u, v)$ is a Hochschild cocycle with antisymmetric part given by $\frac{1}{2}\{u, v\}$ so $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}P + \partial B$ for a differential 1-cochain B. Setting $T(u) = u + \nu B(u)$ and $u *' v = T(T^{-1}(u) * T^{-1}(v))$, this equivalent star product $*'$ has the required form.

In 1979, we proved [64] that all differential deformed brackets on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (or on any symplectic manifold such that $b_2 = 0$) are equivalent modulo a change of the parameter, and this implies a similar result for star products; this was proven by direct methods by Lichnerowicz [84]:

Proposition 18 *Let $*$ and $*'$ be two differential star products on (M, ω) and suppose that $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Then there exists a local equivalence $T = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \nu^k T_k$ on $C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ such that $u *' v = T(T^{-1}u * T^{-1}v)$ for all $u, v \in C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$.*

Proof Let us suppose that, modulo some equivalence, the two star products $*$ and $*'$ coincide up to order k. Then associativity at order k shows that $C_k - C'_k$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle and so by (13) can be written as $(C_k - C'_k)(u, v) = (\partial B)(u, v) + A(X_u, X_v)$ for a 2-form A. The total skewsymmetrization of the associativity relation at order $k + 1$ shows that A is a closed 2-form. Since the second cohomology vanishes, A is exact, $A = dF$. Transforming by the equivalence defined by $Tu = u + \nu^{k-1} 2F(X_u)$, we can assume that the skewsymmetric part of $C_k - C'_k$ vanishes. Then $C_k - C'_k = \partial B$ where B is a differential operator. Using the equivalence defined by $T = I + \nu^k B$ we can assume that the star products coincide, modulo an equivalence, up to order $k + 1$ and the result follows from induction since two star products always agree in their leading term. □

It followed from the above proof and results similar to [64] (i.e. two star products which are equivalent and coincide at order k differ at order $k + 1$ by a Hochschild 2-cocycle whose skewsymmetric part corresponds to an exact 2-form) that at each step in ν, equivalence classes of differential star products on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) are parametrised by $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$, if all such deformations exist. The general existence was proven by De Wilde and Lecomte. At that time, one assumed the parity condition

$C_n(u,v) = (-1)^n C_n(v,u)$, so equivalence classes of such differential star products were parametrised by series $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[[\nu^2]]$. The parametrization was not canonical.

In 1994, Fedosov proved the recursive construction explained in section 4: given any series of closed 2-forms on a symplectic manifold (M,ω), he could build a connection on the Weyl bundle whose curvature is linked to that series and a star product whose equivalence class only depends on the element in $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[[\nu]]$ corresponding to that series of forms.

In 1995, Nest and Tsygan [92], then Deligne [43] and Bertelson-Cahen-Gutt [15] proved that any differential star product on a symplectic manifold (M,ω) is equivalent to a Fedosov star product and that its equivalence class is parametrised by the corresponding element in $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[[\nu]]$.

Definition 19 A **Poisson deformation** of the Poisson bracket on a Poisson manifold (M,P) is a formal deformation $\{\ ,\ \}_\nu$ of the Lie algebra $(C^\infty(M), \{\ ,\ \})$ so that $\{u,\ \}_\nu$ is a derivation of the product of functions, for any $u \in C^\infty(M)$; hence it is a deformation of the form $\{u,v\}_\nu = P_\nu(du,dv)$ where $P_\nu = P + \sum \nu^k P_k$ is a series of skewsymmetric contravariant 2-tensors on M such that $[P_\nu, P_\nu] = 0$.

Two Poisson deformations P_ν and P'_ν of the Poisson bracket P on a Poisson manifold (M,P) are **equivalent** if there exists a formal path in the diffeomorphism group of M, starting at the identity, i. e. a series $T = \exp D = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} D^j$ for $D = \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r D_r$ where the D_r are vector fields on M, such that

$$T\{u,v\}_\nu = \{Tu, Tv\}'_\nu$$

where $\{u,v\}_\nu = P_\nu(du,dv)$ and $\{u,v\}'_\nu = P'_\nu(du,dv)$.

For symplectic manifolds, Flato, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer in 1974 studied 1-differential deformations of the Poisson bracket [61]; it follows from their work, and appears in Lecomte [82], that:

Proposition 20 *On a symplectic manifold (M,ω), the equivalence classes of Poisson deformations of the Poisson bracket P are parametrised by $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[[\nu]]$.*

Indeed, one first show that any Poisson deformation P_ν of the Poisson bracket P on a symplectic manifold (M,ω) is of the form P^Ω for a series $\Omega = \omega + \sum_{k\geq 1} \nu^k \omega_k$ where the ω_k are closed 2-forms, and $P^\Omega(du,dv) = -\Omega(X_u^\Omega, X_v^\Omega)$ where $X_u^\Omega = X_u + \nu(\dots) \in \Gamma(TM)[[\nu]]$ is the element defined by $i(X_u^\Omega)\Omega = du$.

One then shows that two Poisson deformations P^Ω and $P^{\Omega'}$ are equivalent if and only if ω_k and ω'_k are cohomologous for all $k \geq 1$.

In 1997, Kontsevich [79] proved that the coincidence of the set of equivalence classes of star and Poisson deformations is true for general Poisson manifolds :

Theorem 21 *The set of equivalence classes of differential star products on a Poisson manifold (M, P) can be naturally identified with the set of equivalence classes of Poisson deformations of P:*

$$P_\nu = P\nu + P_2\nu^2 + \cdots \in \Gamma(X, \wedge^2 T_X)[[\nu]], \quad [P_\nu, P_\nu] = 0.$$

Remark that all results concerning parametrisation of equivalence classes of differential star products are still valid for star products defined by local cochains or for star products defined by continuous cochains ([67], Pinczon [100]). Parametrization of equivalence classes of special star products have been obtained : star products with separation of variables (by Karabegov [75]), invariant star products on a symplectic manifold when there exists an invariant symplectic connection (with Bertelson and Bieliavsky [16]), algebraic star products (Chloup [40], Kontsevich [79])...

5.3 Deligne's cohomology classes

Deligne defines two cohomological classes associated to differential star products on a symplectic manifold. This leads to an intrinsic way to parametrise the equivalence class of such a differential star product. Although the question makes sense more generally for Poisson manifolds, Deligne's method depends crucially on the Darboux theorem and the uniqueness of the Moyal star product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ so the methods do not extend to general Poisson manifolds.

The first class is a relative class; fixing a star product on the manifold, it intrinsically associates to any equivalence class of star products an element in $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$. This is done in Čech cohomology by looking at the obstruction to gluing local equivalences.

Deligne's second class is built from special local derivations of a star product. The same derivations played a special role in the first general existence theorem [45] for a star product on a symplectic manifold. Deligne used some properties of Fedosov's construction and central curvature class to relate his two classes and to see how to characterise an equivalence class of star products by the derivation related class and some extra data obtained from the second term in the deformation. With John Rawnsley [68], we did this by direct Čech methods which I shall present here.

5.3.1 The relative class

Let $*$ and $*'$ be two differential star products on (M, ω). Let U be a contractible open subset of M and $N_U = C^\infty(U)$. Remark that any differential star product on M restricts to U and $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})(U) = 0$, hence, by proposition 18, there exists a local equivalence $T = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \nu^k T_k$ on $N_U[\![\nu]\!]$ so that $u *' v = T(T^{-1}u * T^{-1}v)$ for all $u, v \in N_U[\![\nu]\!]$.

Proposition 22 *Consider a differential star product $*$ on (M, ω), and assume that $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes.*

- *Any self-equivalence* $A = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \nu^k A_k$ *of* $*$ *is inner:* $A = \exp \mathrm{ad}_* a$ *for some* $a \in C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$.

- *Any* ν*-linear derivation of* $*$ *is of the form* $D = \sum_{i\geq 0} \nu^i D_i$ *where each* D_i *corresponds to a symplectic vector field* X_i *and is given by*

$$D_i u = \frac{1}{\nu}\,(f_i * u - u * f_i)$$

if $X_i u = \{f_i, u\}$.

Indeed, one builds a recursively; assuming $A = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{r\geq k} \nu^r A_r$ and $k \geq 1$, the condition $A(u * v) = Au * Av$ implies at order k in ν that $A_k(uv) + C_k(u, v) = A_k(u)v + uA_k(v) + C_k(u, v)$ so that A_k is a vector field. Taking the skew part of the terms in ν^{k+1} we have that A_k is a derivation of the Poisson bracket. Since $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$, one can write $A_k(u) = \{a_{k-1}, u\}$ for some function a_{k-1}. Then $(\exp - \mathrm{ad}_* \nu^{k-1} a_{k-1}) \circ A = \mathrm{Id} + O(\nu^{k+1})$ and the induction proceeds. The proof for ν-linear derivation is similar.

The above results can be applied to the restriction of a differential star product on (M, ω) to a contractible open set U. Set, as above, $N_U = C^\infty(U)$. If $A = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \nu^k A_k$ is a formal linear operator on $N_U[\![\nu]\!]$ which preserves the differential star product $*$, then there is $a \in N_U[\![\nu]\!]$ with $A = \exp \mathrm{ad}_* a$. Similarly, any local ν-linear derivation D_U of $*$ on $N_U[\![\nu]\!]$ is essentially inner: $D_U = \frac{1}{\nu} \mathrm{ad}_* d_U$ for some $d_U \in N_U[\![\nu]\!]$.

It is convenient to write the composition of automorphisms of the form $\exp \mathrm{ad}_* a$ in terms of a. In a pronilpotent situation this is done with the **Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff composition** which is denoted by $a \circ_* b$:

$$a \circ_* b = a + \int_0^1 \psi(\exp \mathrm{ad}_* a \circ \exp t \,\mathrm{ad}_* b) b \, dt$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{z \log(z)}{z - 1} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \right) (z - 1)^n.$$

Notice that the formula is well defined (at any given order in ν, only a finite number of terms arise) and it is given by the usual series

$$a \circ_* b = a + b + \frac{1}{2}[a, b]_* + \frac{1}{12}([a, [a, b]_*]_* + [b, [b, a]_*]_*) \cdots .$$

The following results are standard (N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, *Éléments de Mathématique*, Livre 9, Chapitre 2, §6):

- $\circ_*$ is an associative composition law;

- $\exp \operatorname{ad}_*(a \circ_* b) = \exp \operatorname{ad}_* a \circ \exp \operatorname{ad}_* b$;
- $a \circ_* b \circ_* (-a) = \exp(\operatorname{ad}_* a)\, b$;
- $-(a \circ_* b) = (-b) \circ_* (-a)$;
- $\left.\dfrac{d}{dt}\right|_0 (-a) \circ_* (a + tb) = \dfrac{1 - \exp(-\operatorname{ad}_* a)}{\operatorname{ad}_* a}(b)$.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. We fix a locally finite open cover $\mathscr{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ by Darboux coordinate charts such that the U_α and all their non-empty intersections are contractible, and we fix a partition of unity $\{\theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ subordinate to $\mathscr{U}$. Set $N_\alpha = C^\infty(U_\alpha)$, $N_{\alpha\beta} = C^\infty(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$, and so on.

Now suppose that $*$ and $*'$ are two differential star products on (M, ω). We have seen that their restrictions to $N_\alpha[\![\nu]\!]$ are equivalent so there exist formal differential operators $T_\alpha \colon N_\alpha[\![\nu]\!] \to N_\alpha[\![\nu]\!]$ such that

$$T_\alpha(u * v) = T_\alpha(u) *' T_\alpha(v), \qquad u, v \in N_\alpha[\![\nu]\!].$$

On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, $T_\beta^{-1} \circ T_\alpha$ will be a self-equivalence of $*$ on $N_{\alpha\beta}[\![\nu]\!]$ and so there will be elements $t_{\beta\alpha} = -t_{\alpha\beta}$ in $N_{\alpha\beta}[\![\nu]\!]$ with

$$T_\beta^{-1} \circ T_\alpha = \exp \operatorname{ad}_* t_{\beta\alpha}.$$

On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$ the element

$$t_{\gamma\beta\alpha} = t_{\alpha\gamma} \circ_* t_{\gamma\beta} \circ_* t_{\beta\alpha}$$

induces the identity automorphism and hence is in the centre $\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$ of $N_{\alpha\beta\gamma}[\![\nu]\!]$. The family of $t_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$ is thus a Čech 2-cocycle for the covering $\mathscr{U}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$. The standard arguments show that its class does not depend on the choices made, and is compatible with refinements. Since every open cover has a refinement of the kind considered it follows that $t_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$ determines a unique Čech cohomology class $[t_{\gamma\beta\alpha}] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$.

Definition 23

$$t(*', *) = [t_{\gamma\beta\alpha}] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$$

is **Deligne's relative class**.

It is easy to see, using the fact that the cohomology of the sheaf of smooth functions is trivial:

Theorem 24 *(Deligne) Fixing a differential star product $*$ on (M, ω), the relative class $t(*', *)$ in $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$ depends only on the equivalence class of the differential star product $*'$, and sets up a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of differential star products and $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$.*

If $*$, $*'$, $*''$ are three differential star products on (M, ω) then

$$t(*'', *) = t(*'', *') + t(*', *). \tag{9}$$

5.3.2 The derivation related class

The addition formula above suggests that $t(*', *)$ should be a difference of classes $c(*'), c(*) \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$. Moreover, the class $c(*)$ should determine the star product $*$ up to equivalence.

Definition 25 Let U be an open set of M. Say that a derivation D of $(C^\infty(U)[\![\nu]\!], *)$ is **ν-Euler** if it has the form

$$D = \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + X + D' \tag{10}$$

where X is conformally symplectic on U ($\mathscr{L}_X\omega|_U = \omega|_U$) and $D' = \sum_{r\geq 1}\nu^r D'_r$ with the D'_r differential operators on U.

Proposition 26 *Let $*$ be a differential star product on (M,ω). For each $U_\alpha \in \mathscr{U}$ there exists a ν-Euler derivation $D_\alpha = \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + X_\alpha + D'_\alpha$ of the algebra $(N_\alpha[\![\nu]\!], *)$.*

Proof On an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the standard symplectic structure Ω, denote the Poisson bracket by P. Let X be a conformal vector field so $\mathscr{L}_X\Omega = \Omega$. The Moyal star product $*_M$ is given by $u *_M v = uv + \sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{\nu^r}{2^r r!}P^r(u,v)$ and $D = \nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + X$ is a derivation of $*_M$.

Now (U_α,ω) is symplectomorphic to an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and we can pull back D and $*_M$ to U_α by this symplectomorphism to give a star product $*'$ on U_α with a derivation of the form $\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + X_\alpha$. Since any differential star product on this open set is equivalent to $*'$ denote by T an equivalence of $*$ with $*'$ on U_α. Then $D_\alpha = T^{-1}\circ(\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + X_\alpha)\circ T$ is a derivation of the required form. □

We take such a collection of derivations D_α given by Proposition 26 and on $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$ we consider the differences $D_\beta - D_\alpha$. They are derivations of $*$ and the ν derivatives cancel out, so $D_\beta - D_\alpha$ is a ν-linear derivation of $N_{\alpha\beta}[\![\nu]\!]$. Any ν-linear derivation is of the form $\frac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_* d$, so there are $d_{\beta\alpha} \in N_{\alpha\beta}[\![\nu]\!]$ with

$$D_\beta - D_\alpha = \frac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_* d_{\beta\alpha} \tag{11}$$

with $d_{\beta\alpha}$ unique up to a central element. On $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\cap U_\gamma$ the combination $d_{\alpha\gamma}+d_{\gamma\beta}+d_{\beta\alpha}$ must be central and hence defines $d_{\gamma\beta\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$. It is easy to see that $d_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$ is a 2-cocycle whose Čech class $[d_{\gamma\beta\alpha}] \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$ does not depend on any of the choices made.

Definition 27 $d(*) = [d_{\gamma\beta\alpha}] \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$ is **Deligne's intrinsic derivation-related class**.

- In fact the class considered by Deligne is actually $\frac{1}{\nu}d(*)$. A purely Čech-theoretic accounts of this class is given in Karabegov [75].
- If $*$ and $*'$ are equivalent then $d(*') = d(*)$.

- If $d(*) = \sum_{r\geq 0} \nu^r d^r(*)$ then $d^0(*) = [\omega]$ under the de Rham isomorphism, and $d^1(*) = 0$.

Consider two differential star products $*$ and $*'$ on (M, ω) with local equivalences T_α and local ν-Euler derivations D_α for $*$. Then $D'_\alpha = T_\alpha \circ D_\alpha \circ T_\alpha^{-1}$ are local ν-Euler derivations for $*'$. Let $D_\beta - D_\alpha = \frac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*\, d_{\beta\alpha}$ and $T_\beta^{-1} \circ T_\alpha = \exp\,\mathrm{ad}_*\, t_{\beta\alpha}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Then $D'_\beta - D'_\alpha = \frac{1}{\nu}\mathrm{ad}_{*'} d'_{\beta\alpha}$ where

$$d'_{\beta\alpha} = T_\beta d_{\beta\alpha} - \nu T_\beta \circ \left(\frac{1 - \exp\left(-\,\mathrm{ad}_*\, t_{\alpha\beta}\right)}{\mathrm{ad}_*\, t_{\alpha\beta}}\right) \circ D_\alpha t_{\alpha\beta}.$$

In this situation

$$d'_{\gamma\beta\alpha} = T_\alpha(d_{\gamma\beta\alpha} + \nu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} t_{\gamma\beta\alpha}).$$

This gives a direct proof of:

Theorem 28 *(Deligne) The relative class and the intrinsic derivation-related classes of two differential star products $*$ and $*'$ are related by*

$$\nu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} t(*', *) = d(*') - d(*). \tag{12}$$

5.3.3 The characteristic class

The formula above shows that the information which is "lost" in $d(*') - d(*)$ corresponds to the zeroth order term in ν of $t(*', *)$.

Remark 29 In [65, 47] it was shown that any bidifferential operator C, vanishing on constants, which is a 2-cocycle for the Chevalley cohomology of $(C^\infty(M), \{\ ,\ \})$ with values in $C^\infty(M)$ associated to the adjoint representation (i.e. such that

$$\underset{u,v,w}{\overset{\curvearrowright}{\bigoplus}} [\{u, C(v, w)\} - C(\{u, v\}, w)] = 0$$

where $\underset{u,v,w}{\overset{\curvearrowright}{\bigoplus}}$ denotes the sum over cyclic permutations of u, v and w) can be written as

$$C(u, v) = aS^3_\Gamma(u, v) + A(X_u, X_v) + [\{u, Ev\} + \{Eu, v\} - E(\{u, v\})]$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, where S^3_Γ is a bidifferential 2-cocycle introduced in [12] (which vanishes on constants and is never a coboundary and whose symbol is of order 3 in each argument), where A is a closed 2-form on M and where E is a differential operator vanishing on constants. Hence

$$H^2_{\mathrm{Chev,nc}}(C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M)) = \mathbb{R} \oplus H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$$

and we define the # operator as the projection on the second factor relative to this decomposition.

Proposition 30 *Given two differential star products $*$ and $*'$, the term of order zero in Deligne's relative class $t(*',*) = \sum_{r\geq 0} \nu^r t^r(*',*)$ is given by*

$$t^0(*',*) = -2(C'^-_2)^\# + 2(C^-_2)^\#.$$

If $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\ ,\ \}$, then $C^-_2(u,v) = A(X_u, X_v)$ where A is a closed 2-form and $(C^-_2)^\# = [A]$ so it "is" the skewsymmetric part of C_2.

It follows from what we did before that the association to a differential star product of $(C^-_2)^\#$ and $d(*)$ completely determines its equivalence class.

Definition 31 The **characteristic class** of a differential star product $*$ on (M,ω) is the element $c(*)$ of the affine space $\frac{-[\omega]}{\nu} + H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} c(*)^0 &= -2(C^-_2)^\# \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} c(*)(\nu) &= \frac{1}{\nu^2} d(*) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 32 *The characteristic class has the following properties:*

- *The relative class is given by*

$$t(*',*) = c(*') - c(*) \tag{13}$$

- *The map C from equivalence classes of star products on (M,ω) to the affine space $\frac{-[\omega]}{\nu} + H^2(M;\mathbb{R})[\![\nu]\!]$ mapping $[*]$ to $c(*)$ is a bijection.*

- *If $\psi\colon M \to M'$ is a diffeomorphism and if $*$ is a star product on (M,ω) then $u *' v = (\psi^{-1})^*(\psi^* u * \psi^* v)$ defines a star product denoted $*' = (\psi^{-1})^* *$ on (M',ω') where $\omega' = (\psi^{-1})^*\omega$. The characteristic class is natural relative to diffeomorphisms:*

$$c((\psi^{-1})^* *) = (\psi^{-1})^* c(*). \tag{14}$$

- *Consider a change of parameter $f(\nu) = \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r f_r$ where $f_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_1 \neq 0$ and let $*'$ be the star product obtained from $*$ by this change of parameter, i.e. $u *' v = u.v + \sum_{r\geq 1}(f(\nu))^r C_r(u,v) = u.v + f_1\nu C_1(u,v) + \nu^2((f_1)^2 C_2(u,v) + f_2 C_1(u,v)) + \ldots$. Then $*'$ is a differential star product on (M,ω') where $\omega' = \frac{1}{f_1}\omega$ and we have equivariance under a change of parameter:*

$$c(*')(\nu) = c(*)(f(\nu)). \tag{15}$$

The characteristic class $c(*)$ coincides (cf Deligne [43] and Neumaier [94]) for Fedosov-type star products with their characteristic class introduced by Fedosov as the de Rham class of the curvature of the generalised connection used to build them (up to a sign and factors of 2). That characteristic class is also studied by Weinstein and Xu in [111]. The fact that $d(*)$ and $(C^-_2)^\#$ completely characterise the equivalence class of a star product is also proven by Čech methods in De Wilde [44].

5.4 Automorphisms of a star product

The above proposition allows to study automorphisms of star products on a symplectic manifold ([101], [68]).

Definition 33 An **isomorphism** from a differential star product $*$ on (M,ω) to a differential star product $*'$ on (M',ω') is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear bijective map

$$A\colon C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \to C^\infty(M')[\![\nu]\!],$$

continuous in the ν-adic topology (i.e. $A(\sum_r \nu^r u_r)$ is the limit of $\sum_{r\leq N} A(\nu^r u_r)$), such that

$$A(u*v) = Au *' Av.$$

Notice that if A is such an isomorphism, then $A(\nu)$ is central for $*'$ so that $A(\nu) = f(\nu)$ where $f(\nu)\in\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$ is without constant term to get the ν-adic continuity. Let us denote by $*''$ the differential star product on $(M,\omega_1 = \frac{1}{f_1}\omega)$ obtained by a change of parameter

$$u *''_\nu v = u *_{f(\nu)} v = F(F^{-1}u * F^{-1}v)$$

for $F\colon C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]\colon \sum_r \nu^r u_r \mapsto \sum_r f(\nu)^r u_r$.
Define $A' : C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \to C^\infty(M')[\![\nu]\!]$ by $A = A'\circ F$. Then A' is a ν-linear isomorphism between $*''$ and $*'$:

$$A'(u *'' v) = A'u *' A'v.$$

At order zero in ν this yields $A'_0(u.v) = A'_0u.A'_0v$ so that there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi : M' \to M$ with $A'_0u = \psi^*u$. The skewsymmetric part of the isomorphism relation at order 1 in ν implies that $\psi^*\omega_1 = \omega'$. Let us denote by $*'''$ the differential star product on (M,ω_1) obtained by pullback via ψ of $*'$:

$$u *''' v = (\psi^{-1})^*(\psi^*u *' \psi^*v)$$

and define $B : C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ so that $A' = \psi^*\circ B$. Then B is ν-linear, starts with the identity and

$$B(u *'' v) = Bu *''' Bv$$

so that B is an equivalence – in the usual sense – between $*''$ and $*'''$. Hence [68]

Proposition 34 *Any isomorphism between two differential star products on symplectic manifolds is the combination of a change of parameter and a ν-linear isomorphism. Any ν-linear isomorphism between two star products $*$ on (M,ω) and $*'$ on (M',ω') is the combination of the action on functions of a symplectomorphism $\psi : M' \to M$ and an equivalence between $*$ and the pullback via ψ of $*'$. In particular, it exists if and only if those two star products are equivalent, i.e. if and only if $(\psi^{-1})^*c(*') = c(*)$, where here $(\psi^{-1})^*$ denotes the action on the second de Rham cohomology space.*

In particular, two differential star products $*$ on (M,ω) and $*'$ on (M',ω') are isomorphic if and only if there exist $f(\nu) = \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r f_r \in \mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$ with $f_1 \neq 0$ and $\psi\colon M' \to M$, a symplectomorphism, such that $(\psi^{-1})^* c(*')(f(\nu)) = c(*)(\nu)$. In particular [64]: if $H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\omega]$ then there is only one star product up to equivalence and change of parameter.

Omori et al. [96] also show that when reparametrizations are allowed then there is only one star product on $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

A special case of Proposition 34 gives:

Proposition 35 *A symplectomorphism ψ of a symplectic manifold can be extended to a ν-linear automorphism of a given differential star product on (M,ω) if and only if $(\psi)^* c(*) = c(*)$.*

Notice that this is always the case if ψ can be connected to the identity by a path of symplectomorphisms (and this result was in Fedosov [53]).

6 Star products on Poisson manifolds and Formality

The existence of a star product on a general Poisson manifold was proven by Kontsevich in [79] as a straightforward consequence of the formality theorem. In fact he showed that the set of equivalence classes of star products is the same as the set of equivalence classes of formal Poisson structure. As we already mentioned, a differential star product on M is defined by a series of bidifferential operators satisfying some identities; on the other hand a formal Poisson structure on a manifold M is completely defined by a series of bivector fields P satisfying certain properties; to describe the correspondence between these objects, one introduces the algebras they belong to.

6.1 DGLA's

Definition 36 A **graded Lie algebra** is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^i$ endowed with a bilinear operation

$$[\ ,\ ]\colon \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

a) (graded bracket) $[\,a\,,\,b\,]\subset\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha+\beta}$

b) (skewsymmetry) $[\,a\,,\,b\,]=-(-1)^{\alpha\beta}[\,b\,,\,a\,]$

c) (Jacobi) $[\,a\,,\,[\,b\,,\,c\,]\,]=[\,[\,a\,,\,b\,]\,,\,c\,]+(-1)^{\alpha\beta}[\,b\,,\,[\,a\,,\,c\,]\,]$

for any $a\in\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, $b\in\mathfrak{g}^\beta$ and $c\in\mathfrak{g}^\gamma$

Remark that any Lie algebra is a graded Lie algebra concentrated in degree 0 and that the degree zero part $\mathfrak{g}^0$ and the even part $\mathfrak{g}^{even} := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}^{2i}$ of any graded Lie algebra are Lie algebras in the usual sense.

Definition 37 A **differential graded Lie algebra** (briefly DGLA) is a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ together with a differential, $d\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. a linear operator of degree 1 ($d\colon\mathfrak{g}^i\to\mathfrak{g}^{i+1}$) which satisfies the compatibility condition (Leibniz rule)

$$d[\,a\,,\,b\,]=[\,d\,a\,,\,b\,]+(-1)^\alpha[\,a\,,\,d\,b\,]\qquad\qquad a\in\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, b\in\mathfrak{g}^\beta$$

and squares to zero ($d\circ d=0$).

The natural notions of morphisms of graded and differential graded Lie algebras are graded linear maps which commute with the differentials and the brackets (a graded linear map $\phi\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{h}$ of degree k is a linear map such that $\phi(\mathfrak{g}^i)\subset\mathfrak{h}^{i+k}\ \forall i\in\mathbb{N}$). Remark that a morphism of DGLA's has to be a degree 0 in order to commute with the other structures.

Any DGLA has a cohomology complex defined by

$$\mathscr{H}^i(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathrm{Ker}\,(d\colon \mathfrak{g}^i \to \mathfrak{g}^{i+1}) \Big/ \Im(d\colon \mathfrak{g}^{i-1} \to \mathfrak{g}^i).$$

The set $\mathscr{H} := \bigoplus_i \mathscr{H}^i(\mathfrak{g})$ has a natural structure of graded vector space and inherits the structure of a graded Lie algebra, defined by:

$$[\,|a|\,,\,|b|\,]_{\mathscr{H}} := \Big|[\,a\,,\,b\,]_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big|\,.$$

where $|a| \in \mathscr{H}$ denote the equivalence classes of a closed elemnt $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. The cohomology of a DGLA can itself be turned into a DGLA with zero differential.

Any morphism $\phi\colon \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ of DGLA's induces a morphism $(\phi)\colon \mathscr{H}_1 \to \mathscr{H}_2$. A morphism of DGLA's inducing an isomorphism in cohomology is called a **quasi-isomorphism**.

6.1.1 The DGLA of polydifferential operators

Let A be an associative algebra with unit on a field $\mathbb{K}$; consider the complex of multilinear maps from A to itself:

$$\mathscr{C} := \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \mathscr{C}^i \qquad \mathscr{C}^i := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(A^{\otimes(i+1)}, A)$$

remark that we shifted the degree by one; the degree $|A|$ of a $(p+1)$–linear map A is equal to p.

The Lie algebra structure on the space of linear maps arises from the underlying associative structure given by the composition of operators. One extends this notion to multilinear operators: for $A_1 \in \mathscr{C}^{m_1}, A_2 \in \mathscr{C}^{m_2}$, define:

$$\begin{aligned}&(A_1 \circ A_2)(f_1, \ldots, f_{m_1+m_2+1}) := \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (-1)^{(m_2)(j-1)} A_1(f_1, \ldots, f_{j-1}, A_2(f_j, \ldots, f_{j+m_2}), f_{j+m_2+1}, \ldots, f_{m_1+m_2+1})\end{aligned}$$

for any $(m_1 + m_2 + 1)$- tuple of elements of A.

Then the **Gerstenhaber bracket** is defined by

$$[A_1, A_2]_G := A_1 \circ A_2 - (-1)^{m_1 m_2} A_2 \circ A_1$$

and gives $\mathscr{C}$ the structure of a graded Lie algebra.

The differential d_D is defined by

$$d_D A = -[\mu, A] = -\mu \circ A + (-1)^{|A|} A \circ \mu$$

where μ is the usual product in the algebra A. Hence $dA = (-1)^{|A|+1}\delta A$ if δ is the Hochschild coboundary

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta A)(f_0,\ldots,f_p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{i+1}A(f_0,\ldots,f_{i-1},f_i\cdot f_{i+1},\ldots,f_p)+f_0\cdot A(f_1,\ldots,f_p)\\ &+ (-1)^{(p+1)}A(f_0,\ldots,f_p)\cdot f_{p+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 38 *The graded Lie algebra $\mathscr{C}$ together with the differential d_D is a differential graded Lie algebra.*

Here we shall consider the case where $A = C^\infty(M)$, and we shall deal more precisely with the subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of multidifferential operators $\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M) := \bigoplus \mathscr{D}^i_{poly}(M)$ with $\mathscr{D}^i_{poly}(M)$ consisting of multi differential operators acting on $i+1$ smooth functions on M and vanishing on constants. It is an easy exercise to verify that $\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)$ is closed under the Gerstenhaber bracket and the differential d_D so it is a DGLA.

Proposition 39 *An element $C \in \nu\mathscr{D}^1_{poly}(M)[[\nu]]$ (i.e. a series of bidifferential operator on the manifold M) yields a deformation of the usual associative pointwize product of functions μ:*

$$* = \mu + C$$

which defines a differential star product on M if and only if

$$d_D C - \frac{1}{2}[C,C]_G = 0.$$

6.1.2 The DGLA of multivector fields

A k- **multivector field** is a section of the k-th exterior power $\bigwedge^k TM$ of the tangent space TM; the bracket of multivectorfields is the **Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket** defined by extending the usual Lie bracket of vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}&[X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_k, Y_1\wedge\ldots\wedge Y_l]_S =\\ &\sum_{r=1}^{k}\sum_{s=1}^{l}(-1)^{r+s}[X_r,X_s]X_1\wedge\ldots\widehat{X_r}\wedge\ldots\wedge X_k, Y_1\wedge\ldots\widehat{Y_s}\wedge\ldots\wedge Y_l.\end{aligned}$$

Since the bracket of an r- and an s- multivector fields on M is an $r+s-1$- multivector field, we define a structure of graded Lie algebra on the space $\mathscr{T}_{poly}(M)$ of multivector fields on M by setting $\mathscr{T}^i_{poly}(M)$ the set of skewsymmetric contravariant $i+1$-tensorfields on M (remark again a shift in the grading).

We shall consider here

$$[T_1,T_2]'_S := -[T_2,T_1]_S.$$

The graded Lie algebra $\mathscr{T}_{poly}(M)$ is then turned into a differential graded Lie algebra setting the differential d_T to be identically zero.

Proposition 40 *An element $P \in \nu\mathscr{T}^1_{poly}(M)[[\nu]]$ (i.e. a series of bivectorfields on the manifold M) defines a formal Poisson structure on M if and only if*

$$d_T P - \frac{1}{2}[P,P]'_S = 0.$$

If one can construct an isomorphism of DGLA between the algebra $\mathscr{T}_{poly}(M)$ of multivector fields and the algebra $\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)$ of multidifferential operators, this would give a correspondence between a formal Poisson tensor on M and a formal differential star product on M. We have recalled previously that the cohomology of the algebra of multidifferential operators is given by multivector fields

$$\mathscr{H}^i(\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)) \simeq \mathscr{T}^i_{poly}(M).$$

This bijection is induced by the natural map

$$U_1 \colon \mathscr{T}^i_{poly}(M) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}^i_{poly}(M)$$

which extends the usual identification between vector fields and first order differential operators, and is defined by:

$$U_1(X_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n)(f_0, \ldots, f_n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\sigma)\, X_0(f_{\sigma(0)}) \cdots X_n(f_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Unfortunately this map, which can be easily checked to be a chain map, fails to preserve the Lie structure, as can be easily verified already at order 2.

However the defect of this map in being a Lie algebra morphism is closed in $\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)$ so we shall extend the notion of morphism between two DGLA to construct a morphism whose first order approximation is this isomorphism of complexes. To do this one introduces the notion of L_∞-morphism.

6.2 L_∞-algebras, L_∞-morphism and formality

Definition 41 A **graded coalgebra** on the base ring $\mathbb{K}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded vector space $C = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} C^i$ with a comultiplication, i.e. a graded linear map

$$\Delta \colon C \to C \otimes C$$

such that

$$\Delta(C^i) \subset \bigoplus_{j+k=i} C^j \otimes C^k$$

and such that (coassociativity):

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(x) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x)$$

for every $x \in C$. **A counit** (if it exists) is a morphism

$$e \colon C \to \mathbb{K}$$

such that $e(C^i) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ and

$$(e \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes e)\Delta = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

The coalgebra is **cocommutative** if

$$T \circ \Delta = \Delta$$

where $T\colon C \otimes C \to C \otimes C$ is the twisting map:

$$T(x \otimes y) := (-1)^{|x||y|}\, y \otimes x$$

for x, y homogeneous elements of degree respectively $|x|$ and $|y|$.

Additional structures that can be put on an algebra can be dualized to give a dual version on coalgebras.

Example 42 (The coalgebra $C(V)$) If V is a graded vector space over $\mathbb{K}$, $V = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} V^i$, one defines the tensor algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}$ with $V^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{K}$, and two quotients: the symmetric algebra $S(V) = T(V)/ < x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x >$ and the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V) = T(V)/ < x \otimes y + (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x >$; these spaces are naturally graded associative algebras. They can be given a structure of coalgebras with comultiplication Δ defined on a homogeneous element $v \in V$ by

$$\Delta v := 1 \otimes v + v \otimes 1$$

and extended as algebra homomorphism.

The reduced symmetric space is $C(V) := S^+(V) := \bigoplus_{n>0} S^n(V)$; it is the cofree cocommutative coalgebra without counit constructed on V. (Remark that $\Delta v = 0$ iff $v \in V$.)

Definition 43 A **coderivation** of degree d on a graded coalgebra C is a graded linear map $\delta\colon C^i \to C^{i+d}$ which satisfies the (co–)Leibniz identity:

$$\Delta\delta(v) = \delta v' \otimes v" + ((-1)^{d|v'|} v' \otimes \delta v"$$

if $\Delta v = \sum v' \otimes v"$. This can be rewritten with the usual Koszul sign conventions $\Delta\delta = (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \delta)\Delta$

Definition 44 A L_∞**–algebra** is a graded vector space V over $\mathbb{K}$ and a degree 1 coderivation Q so that $Q \circ Q = 0$ defined on the reduced symmetric space $C(V[1])$. [Given any graded vector space V, we can obtain a new graded vector space $V[k]$ by shifting the grading of the elements of V by k, i.e. $V[k] = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} V[k]^i$ where $V[k]^i := V^{i+k}$.]

Definition 45 A L_∞**–morphism** between two L_∞–algebras, $F\colon (V, Q) \to (V', Q')$, is a morphism

$$F\colon C(V[1]) \longrightarrow C(V'[1])$$

of graded coalgebras, so that $F \circ Q = Q' \circ F$.

Any algebra morphism from $S^+(V)$ to $S^+(V')$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to V and any derivation of $S^+(V)$ is determined by its restriction to V. In a dual way, a coalgebra–morphism F from the coalgebra $C(V)$ to the coalgebra $C(V')$ is uniquely determined by the composition of F and the projection on $\pi' : C(V') \to V'$. Similarly, any coderivation Q of $C(V)$ is determined by the composition $F \circ \pi$ where π is the projection of $C(V)$ on V.

Definition 46 We call **Taylor coefficients of a coalgebra-morphism** $F\colon C(V) \to C(V')$ the sequence of maps $F_n\colon S^n(V) \to V'$ and **Taylor coefficients of a coderivation** Q of $C(V)$ the sequence of maps $Q_n\colon S^n(V) \to V$.

Proposition 47 *Given V and V' two graded vector spaces, any sequence of linear maps $F_n\colon S^n(V) \to V'$ of degree zero determines a unique coalgebra morphism $F\colon C(V) \to C(V')$ for which the F_n are the Taylor coefficients. Explicitely*

$$F(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\{1,\dots,n\} = I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_j} \epsilon_x(I_1, \dots, I_j) F_{|I_1|}(x_{I_1}) \cdots F_{|I_j|}(x_{I_j})$$

where the sum is taken over $I_1 \dots I_j$ partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\epsilon_x(I_1, \dots, I_j)$ is the signature of the effect on the odd x_i's of the unshuffle associated to the partition $(I_1, \dots, I_j)$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Similarly, if V is a graded vector space, any sequence $Q_n\colon S^n(V) \to V, n \geq 1$ of linear maps of degree i determines a unique coderivation Q of $C(V)$ of degree i whose Taylor coefficients are the Q_n. Explicitely

$$Q(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{\{1,\dots,n\} = I \sqcup J} \epsilon_x(I, J)(Q_{|I|}(x_I) x_J.$$

A coderivation Q of $C(V[1])$ of degree 1 has for Taylor coefficients linear maps

$$Q_n : S^n(V[1]) \to V[2].$$

The equation $Q^2 = 0$ is equivalent to

- $Q_1^2 = 0$ and Q_1 is a linear map of degree 1 on V.
- $Q_2(Q_1 x.y + (-1)^{|x|-1} x.Q_1 y) + Q_1 Q_2(x.y) = 0$ (Remark that $|x| - 1$ is the degree of x in $V[1]$)
- $Q_3(Q_1 x.y.z + (-1)^{|x|-1} x.Q_1 y.z + (-1)^{|x|+|y|-2} x.y.Q_1 z) + Q_1 Q_3(x.y.z)$
  $+ Q_2(Q_2(x.y).z) + (-1)^{(|y|-1)(|z|-1)} Q_2(x.z).y + (-1)^{(|x|-1)(|y|+|z|-2)} Q_2(y.z).x = 0$
- ....

Introduce the natural isomorphisms

$$\Phi_n : S^n(V[1]) \to \Lambda^n(V[n]) \quad \Phi_n(x_1 \dots x_n) = \alpha(x_1 \dots x_n) x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n,$$

where $\alpha(x_1 \dots x_n)$, for homogeneous x_i's, is the signature of the unshuffle permutation putting the even x_i's on the left without permuting them and the odd ones on the right without permuting them.

Define $\overline{Q_n} := Q_n \circ (\Phi_n)^{-1} : \Lambda^n(V) \to V[-n+1]$ and

$$dx = (-1)^{|x|} Q_1 x \qquad [x, y] := \overline{Q_2}(x \wedge y) = (-1)^{|x|(|y|-1)} Q_2(x, y).$$

Then d is a differential on V, $[\ ,\ ]$ is a skewsymmetric bilinear map from $V \times V \to V$ satisfying

$$(-1)^{(|x|)(|z|)}[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{(|y|)(|x|)}[[y, z], x](-1)^{(|z|)(|y|)}[[z, x], y] + \text{terms in } Q_3 = 0$$

and $d[x, y] = [dx, y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x, dy]$. In particular, we get:

Proposition 48 *Any L_∞–algebra (V, Q) so that all the Taylor coefficients Q_n of Q vanish for $n > 2$ yields a differential graded Lie algebra and vice versa*

A morphism of graded coalgebras between $C(V[1])$ and $C(V'[1])$ is equivalent to a sequence of linear maps (the Taylor coefficients)

$$F_n : S^n(V[1]) \to V'[1];$$

it defines a L_∞–morphism between two L_∞–algebras (V, Q) and (V', Q') iff $F \circ Q = Q' \circ F$ and this equation is equivalent to

- $F_1 \circ Q_1 = Q'_1 \circ F_1$ so $F_1 : V \to V'$ is a morphism of complexes from (V, d) to (V', d').
- $F_1([x, y]) - [F_1 x, F_1 y]' =$ expression involving F_2
- ....

So, for DGLA's, there exist L_∞–morphisms between two DGLA's which are not DGLA–morphisms. The equations for F to be a L_∞–morphism between two DGLA's (V, Q) and $(V', Q'$ (with $Q_n = 0, Q'_n = 0\ \forall n > 2$) are

$$\begin{aligned} &Q'_1 F_n(x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{U \sqcup J = \{1, ldots, n\} \\ I, J \neq \emptyset}} \epsilon_x(I, J) Q'_2(F_{|I|}(x_I) \cdot F_{|J|}(x_J)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_x(k, 1, \dots \hat{k} \dots, n) F_n(Q_1(x_k) \cdot x_1 \cdot \dots \hat{x_k} \dots \cdot x_n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq l} \epsilon_x(k, l, 1, \dots \hat{k} l \dots, n) F_{n-1}(Q_2(x_k \cdot x_l) \cdot x_1 \cdot \dots \hat{x_k} \hat{x_l} \dots \cdot x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 49 Given a L_∞ algebra (V, Q) over a field of characteristic zero, and given $\mathfrak{m} = \nu\mathbb{R}[[\nu]]$, a $\mathfrak{m}$– **point** is an element $p \in \nu C(V)[[\nu]]$ so that $\Delta p = p \otimes p$ or, equivalently, it is an element $p = e^v - 1 = v + \frac{v^2}{2} + \cdots$ where v is an even element in $V[1] \otimes \mathfrak{m} = \nu V[1][[\nu]]$.

A solution of the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation is a $\mathfrak{m}$–point p where Q vanishes; equivalently, it is an odd element $v \in \nu V[[\nu]]$ so that

$$Q_1(v) + \frac{1}{2}Q_2(v \cdot v) + \cdots = 0.$$

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a DGLA, it is thus an element $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ so that $dv - \frac{1}{2}[v, v] = 0$.

Remark that the image under a L_∞ morphism of a solution of the generalised Maurer-Cartan equation is again such a solution. In particular, if one builds a L_∞ morphism betwwen the two DGLA we consider, $F : \mathscr{T}_{poly}(M) \to \mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)$, the image under F of the point $e^\alpha - 1$ corresponding to a formal Poisson tensor, $\alpha \in \nu\mathscr{T}^1_{poly}(M)[[\nu]]$ so that $[\alpha, \alpha]_S = 0$, yields a star product on M, $* = \mu + \sum_n F_n(\alpha^n)$.

Definition 50 Two L_∞–algebras (V, Q) and (V', Q') are **quasi-isomorphic** if there is a L_∞–morphism F so that $F_1 : V \to V'$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

Definition 51 Kontsevich's **formality** is a quasi isomorphism between the (L_∞–algebra structure associated to the) DGLA of multidifferential operators, $\mathscr{D}_{poly}(M)$, and its cohomology, the DGLA of multivector fields $\mathscr{T}_{poly}(M)$.

6.3 Kontsevich's formality for $\mathbb{R}^d$

Kontsevich gave an explicit formula for the Taylor coefficients of a formality for $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. the Taylor coefficients F_n of an L_∞–morphism between the two DGLA's

$$F : (\mathscr{T}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d), Q) \to (\mathscr{D}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d), Q')$$

where Q corresponds to the DGLA of $(\mathscr{T}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d)\ ,\ [\ ,\ ]'_S\ ,\ D_T = 0)$ and Q' corresponds to the DGLA $(\mathscr{D}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d)\ ,\ [\ ,\ ]_G\ ,\ d_D)$ as they were presented before, with the first coefficient

$$F_1 : \mathscr{T}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathscr{D}_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

given by (U_1) with, as before

$$U_1(X_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n)(f_0, \ldots, f_n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\sigma)\ X_0(f_{\sigma(0)}) \cdots X_n(f_{\sigma(n)}).$$

The formula writes as follows

$$F_n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma} \in G_{n,m}} \mathscr{W}_{\vec{\Gamma}} B_{\vec{\Gamma}}$$

- where $G_{n,m}$ is a set of oriented admissible graphs;
- where $B_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ asoociates a m–differential operator to an n–tuple of multivectorfields;
- where $\mathscr{W}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ is the integral of a form $\omega_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ over the compactification of a configuration space $C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$.

For a detailed proof of this formality, we refer the reader to [9].

6.3.1 The set $G_{n,m}$ of oriented admissible graphs

An admissible graph $\vec{\Gamma} \in G_{n,m}$ has n aerial vertices labelled $p_1, \ldots, p_n$, has m ground vertices labelled $q_1, \ldots, q_m$. From each aerial vertex p_i, a numer k_i of arrows are issued; each of them can end on any vertex except p_i but there can not be multiple arrows. There are no arrows issued from the ground vertices. One gives an order to the vertices:$(p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_m)$ and one gives a compatible order to the arrows, labeling those issued from p_i with $(k_1 + \ldots + k_{i-1} + 1, \ldots, k_1 + \ldots + k_{i-1} + k_i)$. The arrows issued from p_i are named $\mathrm{Star}(p_i) = \{\overrightarrow{p_i a_1}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{p_i a_{k_i}}\}$ with $\overrightarrow{v_{k_1+\ldots+k_{i-1}+j}} = \overrightarrow{p_i a_j}$.

6.3.2 The m–differential operator $B_{\vec{\Gamma}}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$

Given a graph $\vec{\Gamma} \in G_{n,m}$ and given n multivectorfields $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, one defines a m– differential operator $B_{\vec{\Gamma}}(\alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_n)$; it vanishes unless α_1 is a k_1–tensor, α_2 is a k_2–tensor,..., α_n is a k_n–tensor and in that case it is given by:

$$B_{\vec{\Gamma}}(\alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_n)(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_K} D_{p_1}\alpha_1^{i_1\cdots i_{k_1}} D_{p_2}\alpha_2^{i_{k_1+1}\cdots i_{k_1+k_2}} \ldots D_{p_n}\alpha_n^{i_{k_1+\ldots+k_{n-1}+1}\cdots i_K} D_{q_1}f_1 \ldots D_{q_m}f_m$$

where $K := k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ and where $D_a := \Pi_{j|\vec{v_j}=\vec{a}}\partial_{i_j}$.

6.3.3 The configuration space $C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$

Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote the upper half plane $\mathscr{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | Im(z) > 0\}$. We define

$$Conf^+_{\{z_1,\ldots,z_n\}\{t_1,\ldots,t_m\}} := \left\{ z_1, \ldots, z_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} z_j \in \mathscr{H}; z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j; \\ t_j \in \mathbb{R}; t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_m \end{array} \right\}$$

and $C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ to be the quotient of this space by the action of the 2-dimensional group G of all transformations of the form

$$z_j \mapsto az_j + b \qquad t_i \mapsto at_i + b \qquad a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The configuration space $C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ has dimension $2n + m - 2$ and has an orientation induced on the quotient by

$$\Omega_{\{z_1,\ldots,z_n;t_1,\ldots,t_m\}} = dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \ldots dx_n \wedge dy_n \wedge dt_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dt_m$$

if $z_j = x_j + iy_j$.

The compactification $\overline{C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}}$ is defined as the closure of the image of the configuration space $C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ into the product of a torus and the product of real projective spaces $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ under the map Ψ induced from a map ψ defined on $Conf^+_{\{z_1,\ldots,z_n\}\{t_1,\ldots,t_m\}}$ in the following way: to any pair of distinct points A, B taken amongst the $\{z_j, \overline{z}_j, t_k\}$ ψ associates the angle $\arg(B-A)$ and to any triple of distinct points A, B, C in that set, ψ associates the element of $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ which is the equivalence class of the triple of real numbers $(|A-B|, |B-C|, |C-A|)$.

6.3.4 The form $\omega_{\vec{\Gamma}}$

Given a graph $\vec{\Gamma} \in G_{n,m}$, one defines a form on $\overline{C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}}$ induced by

$$\omega_{\vec{\Gamma}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k_1+\ldots+k_n}(k_1)!\ldots(k_n)!} d\Phi_{\overrightarrow{v_1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\Phi_{\overrightarrow{v_K}}$$

where $\Phi_{\overrightarrow{p_j a}} = \mathrm{Arg}(\frac{a-p_j}{a-\overline{p}_j})$.

6.3.5 sketch of the proof

Remark that $\mathscr{W}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \neq 0$ implies that the dimension of the configuration space $2n+m-2$ is equal to the degree of the form $= k_1 + \ldots + k_n = K$(=the number of arrows in the graph).

We shall write

$$F_n = \sum_{m\geq 0} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma}\in G_{n,m}} \mathscr{W}_{\vec{\Gamma}} B_{\vec{\Gamma}} = \sum F_{(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}$$

where $F_{(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}$ corresponds to the graphs $\vec{\Gamma} \in G_{n,m}$ with k_i arrows starting from p_i.

The formality equation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F_{(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) \circ \mu - (-1)^{\sum k_i - 1} \mu \circ F_{(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{U \sqcup J = \{1, ldots, n\} \\ I, J \neq \emptyset}} \epsilon_\alpha(I,J)(-1)^{(|k_I|-1)|k_J|} F_{(k_I)}(\alpha_I) \circ F_{(k_J)}(\alpha_J) \\ &- \sum_{i\neq j} \epsilon_x(i,j,1,\ldots \hat{ij} \ldots, n) F_{(k_i+k_j-1, k_1, \ldots \hat{k_i} \hat{k_j} \ldots, k_n)}((\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \hat{\alpha}_i \hat{\alpha}_j \ldots \cdot \alpha_n) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 \bullet \alpha_2 = \frac{k_1}{(k_1)!(k_2)!} \alpha_1^{ri_1 \ldots i_{k_1-1}} \partial_r \alpha_2^{j_1 \ldots j_{k_2}} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{i_{k_1-1}} \wedge \partial_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_{k_2}}$$

so that $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]_S = (-1)^{k_1-1} \alpha_1 \bullet \alpha_2 - (-1)^{k_1(k_2-1)} \alpha_2 \bullet \alpha_1$. Recall that, for multidifferential operators

$$\begin{aligned} &(A_1 \circ A_2)(f_1, \ldots, f_{m_1+m_2-1}) = \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (-1)^{(m_2-1)(j-1)} A_1(f_1, \ldots, f_{j-1}, A_2(f_j, \ldots, f_{j+m_2-1}), \ldots, f_{m_1+m_2-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the formality equation can be written as

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} C_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n)$$

for graphs $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$ with n aerial vertices, m ground vertices and $2n+m-3$ arrows.

To a face G of codimension 1 in the boundary of $\overline{C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}}$ and an oriented graph $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$ as above, one associates one term in the formality equation (or 0).

- $G = \partial_{\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\}\{q_{l+1},\ldots,q_{l+m_1}\}} C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ if the aerial points $\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\}$ and the ground points $\{q_{l+1},\ldots,q_{l+m_1}\}$ all collapse into a ground point q. We associate to G $B'_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'},G}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n)$ which is the term in the formality equation of the form $B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}}$ obtained from

  $$B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma_2}}(\alpha_{j_1} \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_{j_{n_2}})(f_1,\ldots,f_l, B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma_1}}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_{i_{n_1}})(f_{l+1},\ldots,f_{l+m_1}), f_{l+m_1+1},\ldots,f_m)$$

  where $\overrightarrow{\Gamma_1}$ is the restriction of $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$ to $\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\} \cup \{q_{l+1},\ldots,q_{l+m_1}\}$, where $\overrightarrow{\Gamma_2}$ is obtained from $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$ by collapsing $\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\} \cup \{q_{l+1},\ldots,q_{l+m_1}\}$ into q and where $\{j_1 < \ldots < j_{n_2}\} = \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{i_1,\ldots,i_{n_1}\}$.

- $G = \partial_{\{p_i,p_j\}} C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ if the aerial points $\{p_i,p_j\}$ collapse into an aerial point p. if the arrow $\overrightarrow{p_ip_j}$ belongs to $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$, we associate $B'_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'},G}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n)$ which is the term in the formality equation of the form $B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}}$ obtained from

  $$B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma_2}}(\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \quad \hat{\alpha_i}\hat{\alpha_j} \quad \cdot \alpha_n)$$

  where $\overrightarrow{\Gamma_2}$ is obtained from $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$ by collapsing $\{p_i,p_j\}$ into p, discarding the arrow $\overrightarrow{p_ip_j}$.

  If $\overrightarrow{p_ip_j}$ is not an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}$, we set $B'_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'},G}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) = 0$.

- $G = \partial_{\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\}} C^+_{\{p_1,\ldots,p_n\}\{q_1,\ldots,q_m\}}$ if the aerial points $\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_{n_1}}\}$ all collapse with $n_1 > 2$. We associate to such a face G, the operator $B'_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'},G} = 0$.

The right hand side of the formality equation now writes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} C_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) \\
= \quad & \sum_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} \sum_{G \subset \partial C^+} B'_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'},G}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) \\
& = \sum_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'} \in G_{n,m}} \Big(\sum_{G \subset \partial C^+} \int_G \omega_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}} \Big) B_{\overrightarrow{\Gamma'}}(\alpha_1 \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha_n) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Stokes theorem on the manifold with corners which is the compactification of C^+.

Theorem 52 *Let α be a Poisson tensor on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (thus $\alpha \in \mathscr{T}^1_{poly}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $[\alpha,\alpha]_S = 0$), let X be a vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Then*

- $P(\alpha) := \mu + C(\alpha) := \mu + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\nu^j}{j!} F_j(\alpha \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha)$ *is a star product on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*;*
- $A(X,\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\nu^j}{j!} F_{j+1}(X \cdot \alpha \cdot \quad \cdot \alpha)$ *satisfies*

$$A(X,\alpha) f * g + f * A(X,\alpha) g - A(X,\alpha)(f * g) = \frac{d}{dt}_{|0} P(\Phi^X_{t*}\alpha)(f,g)$$

where Φ^X_t is the flow of X

6.4 Star product on a Poisson manifold

Kontsevich builds a formality for any manifold M. Here, we shall sketch the approach given by Cattaneo, Felder and Tomassini [38], which gives a globalization of Kontsevich local formula. For a detailed proof we refer to [39].

Remark that given a Poisson bivector field α on $\mathbb{R}^d$, the star product $P(\alpha)(f,g)(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ only depends on the Taylor expansion at x of f, g and α.

If $(M, P = \alpha)$ is any Poisson manifold, we shall use a torsion free connection and the exponential map associated to it to lift smooth functions and multivectorfields from M to $U \subset TM$ and we shall consider their Taylor expansions in the fiber variables. The lift of P allows to define a fiberwize Kontsevich star product on sections of the jetbundle. One then defines a bijection between $C^\infty(M)[[\nu]]$ and a sublagebra of those sections.

6.4.1 Formal exponential maps and $\star$–product on the sections of the jet bundle

Consider a smooth map $\Phi : U \subset TM \to M$ where U is a neighborhood of the zero section; denoting $\Phi_x := \Phi|_{T_xM}$, we assume that $\Phi_x(0) = x$ and that $(\Phi_x)_{*0} = \mathrm{Id}$. Here we shall look at the exponential map for a torsion free connection.

Define an equivalence relation on such maps, defining $\Phi \sim \Psi$ if all partial derivatives of Φ_x and Ψ_x at $y = 0$ coincide.

A formal exponential map is an equivalence class of such maps. In a chart, we can write a formal exponential map $[\Phi]_\sim$ as a collection of formal power series

$$\Phi^i_x(y) = x^i + y^i - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^i_{jk}(x) y^i y^j + \cdots .$$

Consider the jet-bundle E: the fiber is the space of formal power series in $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with real coefficients, $\mathbb{R}[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]]$; if $F(M)$ is the frame bundle of TM

$$E = F(M) \times_{Gl(m,\mathbb{R})} \mathbb{R}[[y^1, \ldots, y^d]].$$

Given a formal exponential map, one associates to any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the Taylor expansion f_Φ of the pullback $\phi_x^* f$; it is a section of E and is given by

$$f_\Phi(x;y) = f(x) + \partial_r f y^r + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{rs} f y^r y^s + \cdots$$

with $\nabla^2_{rs} f = \partial^2_{rs} f - \Gamma^i_{rs}(x)\partial_i f$. Remark that any section of E is of the form

$$\sigma(x,y) = \sum a_{i_1\ldots i_p}(x) y^{i_1}\cdots y^{i_p}$$

where the $a_{i_1\ldots i_p}$ define covariant tensors on M.

To any polyvectorfield $\alpha \in \mathscr{T}_{poly}(M)$, one associates the Taylor expansion α_Φ of the pullback $(\phi_x)_*^{-1}\alpha$. For instance, if X is a vector field on M one gets:

$$X^i_\Phi(x,y) = \text{expansion of}(X^j(\Phi(x))((\frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial y})^{-1})_j = x^i(x) + (\nabla_r X)^i y^r + \cdots$$

and for a Poisson bivector α one gets

$$\alpha^{ij}_\Phi(x,y) = \alpha^{ij}(x) + \cdots .$$

Given a formal exponential map, Kontsevich formula for a star product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ yields an associative algebra structure on the space of formal power series of sections of the jet bundle. Indeed, if $\mathscr{E} := E[[\nu]]$ define

$$\sigma \star \tau := P(\alpha_\Phi)(\sigma,\tau)$$

for sections σ, τ of $\mathscr{E}$.

To define a star product on (M,α) we shall try to find a subalgebra of this algebra of sections $(\Gamma(\mathscr{E}),\star)$ which is in bijection with $C^\infty(M)[[\nu]]$. The idea is to look at flat sections for a flat covariant derivative which acts as a derivation of $\star$.

6.5 Grothendieck connection

Let us recall that a section σ of the jet–bundle E is the pullback of a function, i.e. $\sigma = f_\Phi$ if and only if

$$D_X\sigma = 0 \quad \forall X \in \Gamma^\infty(TM)$$

where

$$D_X = X - X^i((\frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial y})^{-1})^k_j \frac{\partial \Phi^j_x}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} =: X + \hat{X}.$$

Remark that $D^2 = 0$.

Introducing $\delta := dx^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ and defining the total degree of a form on M taking values in sections of E as the sum of the form degree and the degree in y (i.e. $a_{i_1\ldots i_p, j_1\ldots j_q} y^{i_1}\cdots y^{i_p} dx^{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j_q}$ is of degree $p+q$), on can write

$$D = -\delta + \tilde{D}$$

where $\tilde{D}$ is of order ≥ 1. This allows to show that the cohomology of D is concentrated in degree 0.

6.6 Flat connection

The above shows that there is a connection D on the bundle E which is flat and so that the subspace of D–flat sections is isomorphic to the algebra of smooth functions on M. Remark that D is a derivation of the usual product of sections of E (extending the product of polynomials in y to formal power series) but D is not a derivation of $\star$.

The aim is to modify the connection D in order to have a flat connection which is a derivation of $\star$, then to build a bijection between the space of formal power series of smooth functions on M and the space of flat sections of $\mathscr{E}$ for that new connection.

One first defines

$$D'_X := X + A(\hat{X}, \alpha_\Phi)$$

where A is defined as before using the formality on $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is a derivation of $\star$ but in general it is not flat:

$$D'^2\sigma = [F^M, \sigma]_\star$$

where F^M is a 2–form on M with values in the sections of $\mathscr{E}$ defined using the formality as

$$F^M(X,Y) = F(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \alpha_\Phi) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^j}{j!} F_{j+2}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \alpha_\Phi, \ldots, \alpha_\Phi).$$

One then modify D' so that the new covariant derivative is again a derivation

$$\mathscr{D} = D' + [\gamma, \ ]_\star$$

where γ is a 1– form on M with values in the sections of $\mathscr{E}$ and so that its curvature vanishes. One has

$$\mathscr{D}^2\sigma = [F'^M, \sigma]_\star \quad \text{where} F'^M = F^M + D'\gamma + \gamma \star \gamma$$

and one can find a solution γ proceeding by induction using the fact that the D–cohomology vanishes.

6.7 Flat sections and star products

$\mathscr{D}$ is a flat connection on $\mathscr{E}$ which is a derivation of $\star$ so the space of flat sections of $\mathscr{E}$ is a $\star$–subalgebra. To identify this space of flat sections with the space of formal power series of smooth functions on M, one builds a map

$$\rho : \Gamma^\infty(E)[[\nu]] \to \Gamma^\infty(E)[[\nu]] \quad \text{with}\, \rho = \mathrm{id} + O(\nu) \quad \text{and}\, \rho_{|_{y=0}} = \mathrm{id}$$

so that

$$\mathscr{D}\ \rho(\sigma) = \rho(D\sigma).$$

This is again possible by induction using the results on the cohomology of D.

The image under ρ of the space of D–flat sections of $\mathscr{E}$ (which is isomorphic to the space of formal series of functions on M) is the $\star$–subalgebra of $\mathscr{D}$–flat sections of $\mathscr{E}$.

The star product of two formal series f, g of smooth functions on M, is defined as the formal series of functions h so that $\rho(h_\phi) = (\rho(f_\Phi) \star (\rho(g_\Phi))$; hence the star product is given by:

$$f * g = [\rho^{-1}(\rho(f_\Phi) \star (\rho(g_\Phi))]_{y=0}.$$

7 Group actions on star products

7.1 Symplectic connections and natural star products

The link between the notion of star product on a symplectic manifold and symplectic connections already appears in the seminal paper of Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz and Sternheimer [12], and was further developed by Lichnerowicz [85] who showed that any Vey star product (that is, a star product defined by bidifferential operators whose principal symbols at each order coincide with those of the Moyal star product) determines a unique symplectic connection. As we recalled above, Fedosov's construction yields a Vey star products on any symplectic manifold starting from a symplectic connection and a formal series of closed two forms on the manifold. Furthermore any star product is equivalent to a Fedosov star product and the de Rham class of the formal 2-form determines the equivalence class of the star product.

On the other hand, many star products which appear in natural contexts (for example, cotangent bundles or Kähler manifolds) are not Vey star products.

The class of natural class of star products includes all of these as special cases. (Recall that a natural star product on (M, P) is a star product $u*v := \sum_{r\geq 0} \nu^r C_r(u,v)$, where each C_r is a bidifferential operator on M of order at most r in each argument).

Proposition 53 *Two natural star products $*$ and $*'$ on (M, P) are equivalent if and only if there is a series*

$$E = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \nu^r E_r$$

where the E_r are differential operators of order at most $r+1$, such that

$$f *' g = \operatorname{Exp} E\left((\operatorname{Exp} -E)\, f * (\operatorname{Exp} -E)\, g\right)), \tag{16}$$

where Exp *denotes the exponential series.*

Let us denote by $\mathscr{D}^p_q$ the space of $(p+1)$–differential operators of order at most q in each argument, and consider the Gerstehaber bracket on those multidifferential operators.

Given any torsion free linear connection ∇ on (M, P), the term of order 1 of a natural star product can be written

$$C_1 = \{\,,\,\} - \partial E_1 = \{\,,\,\} + (\operatorname{ad} E_1)\, m \qquad \text{where } E_1 \in \mathscr{D}^0_2$$

and the term of order 2 can be written in a chart

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(u,v) \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{ad} E_1)^2\, m)(u,v) + ((\operatorname{ad} E_1)\{\,,\,\})(u,v) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} P^{ij} P^{i'j'}\, \nabla^2_{ii'} u\, \nabla^2_{jj'} v \\ & + \tfrac{1}{6}(P^{rk}\nabla_r P^{jl} + P^{rl}\nabla_r P^{jk})(\nabla^2_{kl} u\, \nabla_j v + \nabla_j u\, \nabla^2_{kl} v) \\ & - \partial E_2(u,v) + c_2(u,v) \end{aligned}$$

where $E_2 \in \mathscr{D}_3^0$ and where $c_2 \in \mathscr{D}_1^1$ is skewsymmetric.

Remark that E_1 is not uniquely defined; two choices differ by an element $X \in \mathscr{D}_1^0$. Observe that the first lines in the definition of C_2 for two such different choices only differ by an element in $\mathscr{D}_1^1$.

Changing the torsion free linear connection gives a modification of the terms of the second line of C_2; writing $\nabla' = \nabla + S$, this modification involves terms of order 2 in one argument and 1 in the other given by

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}P^{rk}P^{sl}S^j_{rs} + \tfrac{1}{3}(P^{rk}S^j_{rs}P^{sl} + P^{rk}S^l_{rs}P^{js})\right)(\nabla^2_{kl}u\,\nabla_j v \quad + \quad \nabla_j u\,\nabla^2_{kl}v) = \\ - \mathop{\circlearrowright\!\!\!\!\!\!\bigoplus}_{jkl} \tfrac{1}{6}P^{rk}P^{sl}S^j_{rs}(\nabla^2_{kl}u\,\nabla_j v + \nabla_j u\,\nabla^2_{kl}v)$$

as well as terms of order 1 in each argument, where $\circlearrowright\!\!\!\!\!\!\bigoplus$ denotes a cyclic sum over the indicated variables.

Notice that the terms above coincide with the terms of the same order in the coboundary of the operator $E' = \frac{1}{6} \mathop{\circlearrowright\!\!\!\!\!\!\bigoplus}_{jkl} P^{rk}P^{sl}S^j_{rs}\nabla^3_{jkl}$.

If the Poisson tensor is invertible (i.e. we are in the symplectic situation), the symbol of any differential operator of order 3 can be written in this form E', hence we have:

Proposition 54 *A star product $* = \sum_{r\geq 0}\nu^r C_r$ on a symplectic manifold (M,ω), such that C_1 is a bidifferential operator of order 1 in each argument and C_2 of order at most 2 in each argument, determines a unique symplectic connection $\nabla = \nabla(*)$ such that*

$$C_1 = \{\,,\,\} - \partial E_1 \qquad C_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{ad} E_1)^2\, m + ((\operatorname{ad} E_1)\{\,,\,\}) + \frac{1}{2}P^2(\nabla^2\cdot, \nabla^2\cdot) + A_2 \quad (17)$$

where $A_2 \in \mathscr{D}_1^1$ and the bidifferential operator which is given by $P^{ij}P^{i'j'}\,\nabla^2_{ii'}u\,\nabla^2_{jj'}v$ in a chart is denoted by $P^2(\nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v)$.

In particular, any natural star product $* = \sum_{r\geq 0}\nu^r C_r$ on a symplectic manifold (M,ω) determines a unique symplectic connection; moreover the map $* \mapsto \nabla(*)$ is equivariant under the symplectomorphism group.

The formula above, when $E_1 = 0$ can be found in Lichnerowicz [85] who only considered star-products with a parity condition which implies that C_1 is the Poisson bracket.

Theorem 55 *[69] Any natural star product on a symplectic manifold (M,ω) determines uniquely*

- *a symplectic connection $\nabla = \nabla(*)$;*
- *a formal series of closed 2-forms $\Omega = \Omega(*) \in \nu\Lambda^2(M)[\![\nu]\!]$;*

- *a formal series* $E = E(*) = \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r E_r$ *of differential operators with*

$$E_r u = \sum_{k=2}^{r+1} (E_r^{(k)})^{i_1 \ldots i_k} \nabla^k_{i_1 \ldots i_k} u$$

  where the $E_r^{(k)}$ *are symmetric* k*-tensors*

such that

$$u * v = \exp -E\left((\exp Eu) *_{\nabla,\Omega} (\exp Ev)\right). \tag{18}$$

If τ *is a diffeomorphism then the data for* $\tau \cdot *$ *is* $\tau \cdot \nabla$, $\tau \cdot \Omega$, *and* $\tau \cdot E$.

Corollary 56 *A vector field* X *is a derivation of a natural star product* $*$ *if and only if* $\mathscr{L}_X \omega = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X \Omega = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X \nabla = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X E = 0$.

Definition 57 We denote by $*_{\nabla,\Omega,E}$ the star product given by equation (18) in Theorem 55.

7.2 Symmetries

Symmetries in quantum theories are automorphisms of an algebra of observables. In our framework where quantisation is defined in terms of a star product, we define **a symmetry** σ **of a star product** $* = \sum_r \nu^r C_r$ as an automorphism of the $\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$-algebra $C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ with multiplication given by $*$:

$$\sigma(u * v) = \sigma(u) * \sigma(v), \qquad \sigma(1) = 1,$$

where σ, being determined by what it does on $C^\infty(M)$, will be a formal series

$$\sigma(u) = \sum_{r\geq 0} \nu^r \sigma_r(u)$$

of linear maps $\sigma_r \colon C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$. We denote the group of such automorphisms by $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]}(*)$. In the general Poisson context, we have:

Lemma 58 *If* $*$ *is a star product on a Poisson manifold* (M, P) *and* σ *is an automorphism of* $*$ *then it can be written* $\sigma(u) = T(u \circ \tau^{-1})$ *where* τ *is a Poisson diffeomorphism of* (M, P) *and* $T = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r T_r$ *is a formal series of linear maps. If* $*$ *is differential, then the* T_r *are differential operators; if* $*$ *is natural, then* $T = \mathrm{Exp}\, E$ *with* $E = \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r E_r$ *and* E_r *is a differential operator of order at most* $r + 1$.

If σ_t is a one-parameter group of symmetries of the star product $*$, then its generator D will be a derivation of $*$: $D = \sum_{r\geq 0} \nu^r D_r$ with $D_0 = X$, a Poisson vector field ($\mathscr{L}_X P = 0$), and if $*$ is natural then each D_r for $r \geq 1$ is a differential operator of order at most $r + 1$. Denote the Lie algebra of ν-linear derivations of $*$ by $\mathrm{Der}(M, *)$.

If $*$ is a star product on a Poisson manifold (M, P), **an action of a Lie group** G **on** $*$ is a homomorphism $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]}(*)$; then $\sigma_g = (\tau_g)^{-1*} + O(\nu)$ and there is induced Poisson action τ of G on (M, P).

The infinitesimal automorphisms will give a homomorphism of Lie algebras $D\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Der}(M, *)$ from its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ into the $\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]$-linear derivations of the star product. For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $D_\xi = \xi^* + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r D^r_\xi$ where ξ^* is the fundamental vector field on M defined by τ (hence $\xi^*(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_0 \tau(\exp -t\xi)x$). Such a homomorphism $D\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Der}(M, *)$ is called **an action of the Lie algebra** $\mathfrak{g}$ **on** $*$.

Proposition 59 *[7] Given a homomorphism $D\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Der}(M, *)$ so that for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $D_\xi = \xi^* + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r D^r_\xi$ where the ξ^* are the fundamental vector fields on M defined by an action τ of G on M and where the D^r_ξ are differential operators, then there exists a local homomorphism $\sigma : U \subset G \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}[\![\nu]\!]}(*)$ so that $\sigma_* = D$*

Definition 60 A star product $* = m + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r C_r$ on a Poisson manifold (M, P) is said to be **invariant** under a diffeomorphism τ of M if $u \mapsto u \circ \tau$ is a symmetry of $*$.

Remark that $*$ is τ-invariant if and only if τ preserves each cochain C_r and hence invariance preserves the Poisson bracket.

7.3 Invariance, Covariance and Generalised moment maps

We have seen that an action of a Lie group by symmetries in our quantum framework yields derivations associated to the elements of the Lie algebra; if we want the analogue in our framework to the requirement that operators should correspond to the infinitesimal actions of this Lie algebra, we should ask the derivations to be inner so that functions are associated to the elements of the Lie algebra. More precisely:

A derivation $D \in \mathrm{Der}(M, *)$ is said to be **essentially inner** or **Hamiltonian** if $D = \frac{1}{\nu} \mathrm{ad}_* u$ for some $u \in C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$. We denote by $\mathrm{Inn}(M, *)$ the essentially inner derivations of $*$. It is a linear subspace of $\mathrm{Der}(M, *)$ and is the quantum analogue of the Hamiltonian vector fields.

Definition 61 We call an action of a Lie group **almost $*$-Hamiltonian** if each D_ξ is essentially inner, and call a linear choice of functions λ_ξ satisfying

$$D_\xi = \tfrac{1}{\nu} \mathrm{ad}_* \lambda_\xi, \qquad \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

a (quantum) Hamiltonian. An almost $*$-Hamiltonian action, at the level of the Lie algebra, is equivalent to the knowledge of a linear map

$$\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \quad \xi \mapsto \lambda_\xi$$

so that

$$\mathrm{ad}_* \frac{1}{\nu}[\lambda_\xi, \lambda_\eta]_* = \mathrm{ad}_* \lambda_{[\xi,\eta]}.$$

Definition 62 We say the action is $*$**-Hamiltonian** if λ_ξ can be chosen to make

$$\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \quad \xi \mapsto \lambda_\xi$$

a homomorphism of Lie algebras, where $C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ is endowed with the bracket $\frac{1}{\nu}[\ ,\ ]_*$.

Such a homomorphism is called a quantization in [7] where it first appeared and is called **a generalised moment map** in [24].

Given a linear map

$$\mu\colon \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!] \quad \xi \mapsto \mu_\xi = \mu^0_\xi + O(\nu)$$

the homomorphism condition reads:

$$\frac{1}{\nu}(\mu_\xi * \mu_\eta - \mu_\eta * \mu_\xi) = \mu_{[\xi,\eta]},$$

so that in particular

$$\{\mu^0_\xi, \mu^0_\eta\} = \mu^0_{[\xi,\eta]}.$$

Such a homomorphism μ defines an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the star product:

$$D_\xi = \tfrac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_* \mu_\xi = \{\mu^0_\xi, \cdot\} + O(\nu)$$

so that the corresponding infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M is Hamiltonian.

Definition 63 When a map $\mu^0 : \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)$ is a generalised moment map, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{\nu}(\mu^0_\xi * \mu^0_\eta - \mu^0_\eta * \mu^0_\xi) = \mu^0_{[\xi,\eta]},$$

the star product is said to be **covariant** under $\mathfrak{g}$ [7].

Such covariant star products have been considered to study representations theory of some classes of Lie groups in terms of star products. In particular, an autonomous star formulation of the theory of representations of nilpotent Lie groups has been given by Arnal and Cortet [5, 6].

Definition 64 A star product $* = m + \sum_{r\geq 1} \nu^r C_r$ on a Poisson manifold (M, P) is said to be **invariant** under an action τ of G on M if each diffeomorphism τ_g is a symmetry of $*$, i.e;

$$(\tau_g)^* u * (\tau_g)^* v = (\tau_g)^*(u * v).$$

Similarly, the star product is said to be **invariant** under an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M (i.e. a homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \Gamma(TM) : \xi \mapsto \xi^*$) if each ξ^* is a derivation of $*$.

Definition 65 When a map $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ is a generalised moment map, so that D_ξ has no terms in ν of degree > 0, thus $D_\xi = \xi^*$, this map is called **a quantum moment map** [112]. Clearly in that situation the star product is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M.

Lemma 66 *([112]) Let G be a Lie group of symmetries of a star product $*$ on (M,ω) and $d\sigma\colon \mathfrak{g}\to \mathrm{Der}(M,*)$ the induced infinitesimal action. If $H^1(M,\mathbb{R})=0$ or $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]\subset\mathfrak{g}$ then the action is almost $*$-Hamiltonian.*

Indeed, by definition, the action is almost $*$-Hamiltonian if $d\sigma(\mathfrak{g})\subset \mathrm{Inn}(M,*)$. This is the case under either of the two conditions.

7.4 Moment Maps for a Fedosov Star Product

We describe here the necessary and sufficient conditions for a Fedosov star product to have a moment map (following [69] building on work of Kravchenko [81]).

Having chosen a series of closed 2-forms $\Omega\in\nu\Lambda^2(M)[\![\nu]\!]$ and a symplectic connection ∇ on a symplectic manifold (M,ω), we consider the Fedosov star product associated to these data.

One has, for any smooth vector field X on M:

$$\delta\circ i(X)+i(X)\circ\delta=\tfrac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*(\omega_{ij}X^iy^j)$$

$$\mathrm{ad}_*\,r\circ i(X)+i(X)\circ\mathrm{ad}_*\,r=\mathrm{ad}_*(i(X)r)$$

and

$$\partial\circ i(X)+i(X)\circ\partial=\mathscr{L}_X-(\nabla_iX)^jy^i\partial_{y^j}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\partial\circ i(X)+i(X)\circ\partial=\mathscr{L}_X+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*\left(-\tfrac12(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_jy^iy^j\right)+\tfrac12(di(X)\omega)_{ip}y^i\Lambda^{jp}\partial_{y^j}.$$

This gives the generalised Cartan formula (Neumaier [94])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_X &= D\circ i(X)+i(X)\circ D+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*(\omega_{ij}X^iy^j)+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*(i(X)r) &(19)\\ &\quad+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\,\mathrm{ad}_*\left(\tfrac12(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_jy^iy^j\right)-\tfrac12(di(X)\omega)_{ip}y^i\Lambda^{jp}\partial_{y^j}. &(20)\end{aligned}$$

The last term obviously drops out when X is a symplectic vector field.

If X is a symplectic vector field preserving the connection and preserving the series of 2-forms Ω, then $\mathscr{L}_Xr=0$ so

$$-Di(X)r=i(X)Dr+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\left[\omega_{ij}X^iy^j+\tfrac12(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_jy^iy^j+i(X)r,r\right]$$

Using equation (4), this gives

$$-Di(X)r=i(X)\overline{R}-i(X)\Omega+\tfrac{1}{\nu}\left[\omega_{ij}X^iy^j+\tfrac12(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_jy^iy^j,r\right].$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $Da=\partial a-\delta(a)-\frac{1}{\nu}[r,a]$ one has

$$D(\omega_{ij}X^iy^j)=-i(X)\omega+\partial(\omega_{ij}X^iy^j)+\tfrac{1}{\nu}[\omega_{ij}X^iy^j,r]$$

and

$$D\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j\right) = -\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j dx^i y^j + \partial\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j\right) + \tfrac{1}{\nu}\left[\tfrac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j, r\right].$$

Since X is an affine vector field, one has $(i(X)R)(Y)Z = (\nabla^2 X)(Y,Z)$ so that

$$\partial\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j\right) = -\frac{1}{2}((\nabla^2 X)^p_{ki}\omega)_{jp} y^i y^j dx^k = i(X)\overline{R}.$$

Hence

$$D\left(-i(X)r - \omega_{ij}X^i y^j - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j\right) = i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega.$$

So, for any vector field X so that $\mathscr{L}_X\omega = 0, \mathscr{L}_X\Omega = 0$ and $\mathscr{L}_X\nabla = 0$, one has

$$\mathscr{L}_X = D\circ i(X) + i(X)\circ D + \tfrac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_*(T(X))$$

with $T(X) = i(X)r + \omega_{ij}X^i y^j + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j$ and

$$DT(X) = -i(X)\omega + i(X)\Omega.$$

In particular, if there exists a series of smooth functions λ_X so that

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X \tag{21}$$

one can write

$$\mathscr{L}_X = D\circ i(X) + i(X)\circ D + \tfrac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_*(\lambda_X + T(X))$$

with

$$D(\lambda_X + T(X)) = 0.$$

Thus $\lambda_X + T(X)$ is the flat section associated to the series of smooth function on M obtained by taking the part of $\lambda_X + T(X)$ with no y terms hence λ_X (notice that $i(X)r$ has no terms without a y from the construction of r). If Q denotes the quantisation map associating a flat section to a series in ν of smooth functions, the above yields

$$\mathscr{L}_X = D\circ i(X) + i(X)\circ D + \tfrac{1}{\nu}\operatorname{ad}_*(Q(\lambda_X)).$$

Since in those assumptions the map Q commutes with $\mathscr{L}_X$ one has

$$Q(Xf) = \mathscr{L}_X Q(f) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}[Q(\lambda_X), Q(f)]$$

so that for any smooth function f, one has

$$Xf = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\operatorname{ad}_*\lambda_X)(f).$$

This proves Proposition 4.3 of [81].

We now aim to show that the condition (21) is not only sufficient, but also necessary. Observe that any Fedosov star product has the Poisson bracket for the term of order 1 in ν and has a second term which is of order at most 2 in each argument so it is natural. Thus it uniquely defines a symplectic connection (which is the connection used in the construction) so that invariance of ∇ is a necessary condition for the invariance of $*_{\nabla,\Omega}$. Setting $E = 0$ in Corollary 56 we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 67 *A vector field X is a derivation of $*_{\nabla,\Omega}$ if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X\omega = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X\Omega = 0$, and $\mathscr{L}_X\nabla = 0$.*

We have seen above that such a vector field X is an inner derivation if $i(X)(\omega - \Omega)$ is exact. We shall show now that this is also a necessary condition.

Assume X is a vector field on M such that there exists a series of smooth functions λ_X with

$$X(u) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_*\, \lambda_X)(u) \tag{22}$$

for every smooth function u on M. Then X is a derivation of $*$ so $\mathscr{L}_X\omega = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X\Omega = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X\nabla = 0$ and

$$Q(Xf) = \mathscr{L}_X Q(f) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}[T(X), Q(f)]$$

with $T(X) = i(X)r + \omega_{ij}X^i y^j + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i(i(X)\omega))_j y^i y^j$ and

$$DT(X) = -i(X)\omega + i(X)\Omega.$$

Taking a contractible open set U in M, there exists a series of smooth locally defined functions λ_X^U on U so that

$$(i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega)|_U = d\lambda_X^U$$

and, everything being local, we have on U

$$D(\lambda_X^U + T(X))|_U = 0,$$

thus $\lambda_X^U + T(X)$ is the flat section on U associated to the series of smooth functions on U obtained by taking the part of $\lambda_X^U + T(X)$ with no y terms (which is λ_X^U) and

$$Q(X(u))|_U = \mathscr{L}_X Q(u)|_U = \tfrac{1}{\nu}[Q(\lambda_X^U), Q(u)]\big|_U$$

so that

$$X(u)|_U = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_{*_{\nabla,\Omega}}\, \lambda_X^U)(u)\big|_U$$

for any smooth function u. Comparing this with equation (22) shows that

$$\lambda_X^U - \lambda_X$$

is a constant on U and hence that

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X.$$

Thus we have proved the converse of Kravchenko's result. In summary:

Theorem 68 *[69] A vector field X is an inner derivation of $* = *_{\nabla,\Omega}$ if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X\nabla = 0$ and there exists a series of functions λ_X such that*

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X.$$

In this case

$$X(u) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_*\,\lambda_X)(u).$$

See also [89, 71, 72, 73] for other variants of this result.

7.5 Moment Maps for an invariant Star Product

Let (M,ω) be endowed with a differential star product $*$,

$$u * v = uv + \sum_{r\geq 1}\nu^r C_r(u,v).$$

Consider an algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of vector fields on M consisting of derivations of $*$ and assume that there is a symplectic connection ∇ which is invariant under $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e. $\mathscr{L}_X\nabla = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$). This is of course automatically true if the star product is natural and invariant.

It was proven in [16] that $*$ is equivalent, through an equivariant equivalence

$$T = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{r\geq 1}\nu^r T_r$$

(i.e. $\mathscr{L}_X T = 0$), to a Fedosov star product built from ∇ and a series of invariant closed 2-forms Ω which give a representative of the characteristic class of $*$.

Observe that

$$X(u) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_*\,\mu_X)(u)$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if

$$X(u) = T\circ X\circ T^{-1}(u) = T(\tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_*\,\mu_X)(T^{-1}u)) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_{*_{\nabla,\Omega}}\,T\mu_X)(u).$$

Hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of inner derivations for $*$ if and only if this is true for the Fedosov star product $*_{\nabla,\Omega}$ and this is true if and only if there exists a series of functions λ_X such that

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X.$$

In this case

$$X(u) = \tfrac{1}{\nu}(\mathrm{ad}_*\,\mu_X)(u) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_X = T^{-1}\lambda_X.$$

In particular, this yields

Theorem 69 *[69] On a symplectic manifold (M, ω), a vector field X is an inner derivation of the natural star product $* = *_{\nabla,\Omega,E}$ if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X \nabla = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_X E = 0$ and there exists a series of functions λ_X such that*

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X.$$

Then $X = \frac{1}{\nu} \operatorname{ad}_* \mu_X$ with $\mu_X = \operatorname{Exp} E^{-1}\lambda_X$.

For an arbitrary star product we immediately have:

Theorem 70 *[69] Let G be a compact Lie group of symplectomorphisms of (M, ω) and $\mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding Lie algebra of symplectic vector fields on M. Consider a star product $*$ on M which is invariant under G. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of inner derivations for $*$ if and only if there exists a series of functions λ_X and a representative $\frac{1}{\nu}(\omega - \Omega)$ of the characteristic class of $*$ such that*

$$i(X)\omega - i(X)\Omega = d\lambda_X.$$

References

[1] M. Andler, A. Dvorsky and S. Sahi, Kontsevich Quantization and invariant distributions on Lie groups, preprint math/9910104 and math/9905065.

[2] D. Arnal, Le produit star de Kontsevich sur le dual d'une algèbre de Lie nilpotente. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 237 (1998) 823-826.

[3] D. Arnal, N. Ben Amar and M. Masmoudi, Cohomology of good graphs and Kontsevich linear star products, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 48 (1999) 291–306.

[4] D. Arnal, M. Cahen and S. Gutt , Deformations on coadjoint orbits, *J. Geom. Phys.* 3 (1986) 327–351.

[5] D. Arnal, $*$ products and representations of nilpotent Lie groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 114 (1984) 285–308 and D. Arnal and J.-C. Cortet, $*$ products in the method of orbits for nilpotent Lie groups, *J. Geom. Phys.* 2 (1985) 83–116

[6] D. Arnal and J.-C. Cortet, Nilpotent Fourier-transform and applications, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 9 (1985) 25–34 and D. Arnal and S. Gutt, Décomposition de $L^2(G)$ et transformation de Fourier adaptée pour un groupe G nilpotent, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 306 (1988) 25–28.

[7] D. Arnal, J.-C. Cortet, P. Molin and G. Pinczon, Covariance and geometrical invariance in star quantization, *Journ. of Math. Phys.* 24 (1983) 276–283.

[8] D. Arnal, J. Ludwig and M. Masmoudi, Déformations covariantes sur les orbites polarisées d'un groupe de Lie, *Journ. of Geom. and Phys.* 14 (1994) 309–331.

[9] D. Arnal, D. Manchon et M. Masmoudi, Choix des signes pour la formalité de M. Kontsevich, math QA/0003003.

[10] S. Asin, PhD thesis, Warwick University 1998.

[11] H. Basart, M. Flato, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory applied to quantization and styatistical mechanics, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 8 (1984) 483–494.

[12] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Quantum mechanics as a deformation of classical mechanics, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 1 (1977) 521–530 and Deformation theory and quantization, part I, *Ann. of Phys.* 111 (1978) 61–110.

[13] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization, part II, *Ann. of Phys.* 111 (1978) 111–151

[14] F.A. Berezin, General concept of quantization, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 40 (1975) 153–174.

[15] M. Bertelson, Equivalence de produits star, *Mémoire de Licence* U.L.B. (1995) and M. Bertelson, M. Cahen and S. Gutt, Equivalence of star products, *Class. Quan. Grav.* 14 (1997) A93–A107.

[16] M. Bertelson, P. Bieliavsky and S. Gutt, Parametrizing equivalence classes of invariant star products, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 46 (1998) 339–345.

[17] F. Bidegain, G. Pinczon, Quantization of Poisson-Lie groups and applications, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 179 (1996) 295–332.

[18] F. Bidegain, G. Pinczon, A $*$-product approach to non-compact quantum groups, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 33 (1995) 231–240.

[19] P. Bonneau, M. Flato, M. Gerstenhaber, G. Pinczon, The hidden group structure of quantum groups: strong duality, rigidity and preferred deformations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 161 (1994) 125–156.

[20] Philippe Bonneau, Fedosov star products and one-differentiable deformations. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 45 (1998), no. 4, 363–376.

[21] M. Bordemann, (Bi)Modules,morphismes et réduction des star–produits, math QA/0403334

[22] M. Bordemann,H.-C. Herbig and S. Waldmann, BRST cohomology and phase space reduction in deformation quantization, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 210 (2000) 107–144.

[23] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken and M. Schlichenmaier, Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $gl(N)N \to \infty$ limit, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 165 (1994) 281–296.

[24] M. Bordemann, N. Neumaier and S. Waldmann, Homogeneous Fedosov star products on cotangent bundles I, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 198 (1998) 363–396.

[25] M. Bordemann, N. Neumaier and S. Waldmann, Homogeneous Fedosov star products on cotangent bundles II, *Journ. of Geom. and Phys.* 29 (1999) 199–234.

[26] M. Bordemann,H. Römer and S. Waldmann, A remark on formal KMS states in deformation quantization, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 45(1998) 49–61.

[27] H. Bursztyn and S. Waldmann, Deformation quantization of hermitian vector bundles, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 53 (2000) 349–365.

[28] H. Bursztyn and S. Waldmann, The characteristic classes of Morita equivalent star products on symplectic manifolds *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 228 (2002) 103–121.

[29] H. Bursztyn and S. Waldmann, Bimodule deformations, Picard groups and contravariant connections *K–Theory* 31 (2004) 1–37.

[30] M. Cahen, M. De Wilde and S. Gutt, Local cohomology of the algebra of smooth functions on a connected manifold, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 4 (1980) 157–167.

[31] M. Cahen, M. Flato, S. Gutt and D. Sternheimer, Do different deformations lead to the same spectrum ?, *Journ. of Geom. and Phys.* 2 (1985) 35–48.

[32] M. Cahen and S. Gutt, Regular $*$ representations of Lie Algebras, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 6 (1982) 395–404.

[33] M. Cahen and S. Gutt, Produits $*$ sur les orbites des groupes semi-simples de rang 1, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* 296 (1983) 821–823 and An algebraic construction of $*$ product on the regular orbits of semisimple Lie groups, *Bibliopolis Ed. Naples, Volume in honour of I. Robinson* (1987) 71–82 .

[34] M. Cahen and S. Gutt, Produits $*$ sur les espaces affins symplectiques localement symétriques", *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* 297 (1983) 417–420.

[35] M. Cahen, S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Quantization of Kähler manifolds I, II, III and IV, *J. Geom. Phys.* 7 (1990) 45–62, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 337 (1993) 73–98, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 30 (1994) 291–305, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 34 (1995) 159–168.

[36] M. Cahen, S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, On tangential star products for the coadjoint Poisson structure, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 180 (1996) 99–108.

[37] A. Cattaneo and G. Felder, A path integral approach to the Kontsevich quantization formula, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 212 (2000) 591–611.

[38] A. Cattaneo, G. Felder and L. Tomassini, From local to global deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, Duke Math J (2001), and mathQA/0012228.

[39] A. Cattaneo and G. Felder, On the globalization of Kontsevich's star product and the perturbative sigma model, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 144 (2001) 38–53 (and hep-th/0111028)

[40] V. Chloup, Star products on the algebra of polynomials on the dual of a semisimple Lie algebra, *Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci.* 8 (1997) 263–269.

[41] A. Connes, Non commutative differential geometry, IHES Publ. Math. 62 (1985) 257–360.

[42] A. Connes, M. Flato and D. Sternheimer, Closed star products and cyclic cohomology, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 24 (1992) 1–12.

[43] P. Deligne, Déformations de l'Algèbre des Fonctions d'une Variété Symplectique: Comparaison entre Fedosov et De Wilde Lecomte, *Selecta Math. (New series).* 1 (1995) 667–697.

[44] M. De Wilde, Deformations of the algebra of functions on a symplectic manifold: a simple cohomological approach. Publication no. 96.005, Institut de Mathématique, Université de Liège, 1996.

[45] M. De Wilde and P. Lecomte, Existence of star-products and of formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 7 (1983) 487–496.

[46] M. De Wilde and P. Lecomte, Formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of a symplectic manifold and star products: existence, equivalence, derivations, *in Deformation Theory of Algebras and Structures and Applications*, ed. by Hazewinkel and Gerstenhaber, Kluwer (1988) 897–960.

[47] M. De Wilde, S. Gutt and P.B.A. Lecomte, À propos des deuxième et troisième espaces de cohomologie de l'algèbre de Lie de Poisson d'une variété symplectique. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A (N.S.)* 40 (1984) 77–83.

[48] G. Dito, Kontsevich star product on the dual of a Lie algebra, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 48 (1999) 307–322.

[49] G. Dito, Star product approach to quantum field theory: the free scalar field, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 20 (1990) 125–134.

[50] G. Dito, An example of cancellation of infinities in the star–quantization of fields, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 27 (1993) 73–80.

[51] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum Groups, *Proc. ICM86, Berkeley, Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1987) 101–110.

[52] B.V. Fedosov, A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization, *J. Diff. Geom.* 40 (1994) 213–238.

[53] B.V. Fedosov, *Deformation quantization and index theory.* Mathematical Topics Vol. 9, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[54] B.V. Fedosov, The index theorem for deformation quantization, in M. Demuth et al. (eds.) Boundary value problems, Schrödinger operators, deformation quantization, *Mathematical Topics* Vol. 8, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, (1996) 206–318.

[55] B.V. Fedosov, Non abelian reduction in deformation quantization, *Lett. in Math . Phys.* 43 (1998), 137–154.

[56] B.V. Fedosov, On G-Trace and G-Index in deformation quantization, preprint 99/31, Universität Potsdam.

[57] G. Felder and B. Shoikhet, Deformation quantization with traces, *Lett. in Math . Phys.* 53 (2000), 75–86.

[58] R. Fioresi, M. A. Lledo, On the deformation quantization of coadjoint orbits of semisimple groups, preprint math/9906104.

[59] M. Flato, Deformation view of physical theories, *Czec. J. Phys.* B32 (1982) 472–475.

[60] M. Flato, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Déformations 1-différentiables d'algèbres de Lie attachées à une variété symplectique ou de contact, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* 279 (1974) 877–881 and *Compositio Math.* 31 (1975) 47–82.

[61] M. Flato, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Crochet de Moyal–Vey et quantification, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I Math.* 283 (1976) 19–24.

[62] C. Fronsdal, Some ideas about quantization, *Reports On Math. Phys.* 15 (1978) 111–145.

[63] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras. *Ann. Math.* 79 (1964) 59–103.

[64] S. Gutt, Equivalence of deformations and associated $*$ products, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 3 (1979) 297–309.

[65] S. Gutt, Second et troisième espaces de cohomologie différentiable de l'algèbre de Lie de Poisson d'une variété symplectique, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A (N.S.)* 33 (1980) 1–31.

[66] S. Gutt, An explicit $*$ product on the cotangent bundle of a Lie group, *Lett. in Math . Phys.* 7 (1983), 249–258.

[67] S. Gutt, On some second Hochschild cohomology spaces for algebras of functions on a manifold, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 39 (1997) 157–162.

[68] S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold; an introduction to Deligne's Čech cohomology classes, *Journ. Geom. Phys.* 29 (1999) 347–392.

[69] S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Natural star products on symplectic manifolds and quantum moment maps, to appear in *Lett. in Math . Phys.*

[70] G. Halbout, (eds): Deformation Quantization, IRMA Lectures in Math. and Theor. Phys., Walter de Gruyter, 2002.

[71] K. Hamachi, A new invariant for G-invariant star products, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 50 (1999) 145–155.

[72] K. Hamachi, Quantum moment maps and invariants for G-invariant star products, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 14 (2002) 601–621.

[73] K. Hamachi, *Differentiability of quantum moment maps*, math.QA/0210044.

[74] A. Karabegov, Berezin's quantization on flag manifolds and spherical modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (1998) 1467–1479.

[75] A. Karabegov, Cohomological classification of deformation quantisations with separation of variables, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 43 (1998) 347–357.

[76] A. Karabegov, On the canonical normalisation of a trace density of deformation quantization, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 45 (1999) 217–228.

[77] A. Karabegov and M. Schlichenmaier, Identification of Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantization, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 540 (2001) 49–76.

[78] V. Kathotia, Kontsevich universal formula for deformation quantization and the CBH formula, preprint math/9811174.

[79] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I. IHES preprint q-alg/9709040,*Lett. Math. Phys.* 66 (2003) 157–216.

[80] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 56 (2001) 271–294.

[81] O. Kravchenko, Deformation quantization of symplectic fibrations, *Compositio Math.*, 123 (2000) 131–165.

[82] P.B.A. Lecomte, Application of the cohomology of graded Lie algebras to formal deformations of Lie algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 13 (1987) 157–166.

[83] A. Lichnerowicz, Cohomologie 1-différentiable des algèbres de Lie attachées à une variété symplectique ou de contact, *Journ. Math. pures et appl.* 53 (1974) 459–484.

[84] A. Lichnerowicz, Existence and equivalence of twisted products on a symplectic manifold, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 3 (1979) 495–502.

[85] A. Lichnerowicz, Déformations d'algèbres associées à une variété symplectique (les $*_\nu$-produits), *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 32 (1982) 157–209.

[86] M. Masmoudi, Tangential formal deformations of the Poisson bracket and tangential star products on a regular Poisson manifold, *J. Geom. Phys.* 9 (1992) 155–171.

[87] C. Moreno and P. Ortega-Navarro, $*$-products on $D^1(C)$, S^2 and related spectral analysis, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 7 (1983) 181–193.

[88] C. Moreno, Star-products on some Kähler-manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 11 (1986) 361–372.

[89] M. Müller, N. Neumaier, *Some Remarks on $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant Fedosov Star Products and Quantum Momentum Mappings*, math.QA/0301101.

[90] F. Nadaud, On continuous and differential Hochschild cohomology, *Lett. in Math. Phys.* 47 (1999) 85–95.

[91] O.M. Neroslavsky and A.T. Vlassov, Sur les déformations de l'algèbre des fonctions d'une variété symplectique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 292 (1981) 71–76.

[92] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Algebraic index theorem for families, *Advances in Math.* 113 (1995) 151–205.

[93] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Algebraic index theorem, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 172 (1995) 223–262.

[94] N. Neumaier, Local ν-Euler Derivations and Deligne's Characteristic Class of Fedosov Star Products and Star Products of Special Type, preprint math/9905176.

[95] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, Weyl manifolds and deformation quantization, *Adv. Math.* 85 (1991) 224–255.

[96] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, The uniqueness of star-products on $\mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{C})$, in C. H. Gu et al. (eds.) *Differential geometry (Shanghai, 1991).* pp 170–176. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1993.

[97] H. Omori and Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, Existence of a closed star product, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 26 (1992) 285–294.

[98] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, Deformation quantizations of Poisson algebras, in Y. Maeda et al. (eds.), symplectic geometry and quantization (Sanda and Yokohama, 1993) *Contemp. Math.* 179 (1994) 213–240.

[99] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Niyazaki and A. Yoshioka, An example of strict Fréchet deformation quantization, preprint 1999.

[100] G. Pinczon, On the equivalence between continuous and differential deformation theories, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 39 (1997) 143–156.

[101] D. Rauch, Equivalence de produits star et classes de Deligne, *Mémoire de Licence* U.L.B. (1998).

[102] J. Rawnsley, M. Cahen and S. Gutt, Quantization of Kähler manifolds I, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 7 (1990) 45–62.

[103] N. Reshetikhin and L. Takhtajan, Deformation quantization of Kähler manifolds, preprint math/9907171.

[104] M. Rieffel, Questions on quantization, in L. Ge et al. (eds.), Operator algebras and operator theory (Shanghai,1997), *Contem. Math.* 228 (1998) 315–328.

[105] D. Sternheimer, Phase-space representations, in M. Flato et al. (eds.), Applications of group theory in physics and mathematical physics (Chicago, 1982), *Lect. in Appl. Math.* 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, (1985) 255-267.

[106] D. Sternheimer, Deformation Quantization Twenty Years after, in J. Rembielinski (ed.), Particles, fields and gravitation (Lodz 1998) *AIP conference proceedings* 453 (1998) 107–145. and math/9809056.

[107] D. Tamarkin, Quantization of Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^2$, preprint math/9705007.

[108] D. Tamarkin, Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem, preprint math/9803025, and Formality of chain operad of small squares, preprint math/9809164.

[109] J. Vey, Déformation du crochet de Poisson sur une variété symplectique, *Comment. Math. Helvet.* 50 (1975) 421–454.

[110] A. Weinstein, Deformation quantization, Séminaire Bourbaki 95, *Astérisque* 227 (1995) 389–409.

[111] A. Weinstein and P. Xu, Hochschild cohomology and characteristic classes for star-products, preprint q-alg/9709043.

[112] Ping Xu, Fedosov $*$-products and quantum moment maps, *Comm. in Math. Phys.* 197 (1998) 167–197.